arXiv:0906.2862v1 [math.NT] 16 Jun 2009

ON TRIVIAL ZEROS OF PERRIN-RIOU'S L-FUNCTIONS

Denis Benois

April 2009

Abstract. In the previous paper [Ben2] we generalized Greenberg's construction of the $\mathcal{L}$-invariant to semistable p-adic representations. Here we prove that this construction is compatible with Perrin-Riou's theory of p-adic L-functions. Namely, using Nekovář's machinery of Selmer complexes we prove that our $\mathcal{L}$-invariant appears as an additional factor in the Bloch-Kato type formula for special values of Perrin-Riou's Iwasawa L-function.

Table of contents

Introduction
§1. (φ,Γ)-modules
  1.1. Rings of p-adic periods
  1.2. (φ,Γ)-modules
  1.3. Cohomology of (φ,Γ)-modules
  1.4. Crystalline representations
§2. The exponential map
  2.1. The Bloch-Kato exponential map
  2.2. The large exponential map
§3. The $\mathcal{L}$-invariant
  3.1. Definition of the $\mathcal{L}$-invariant
  3.2. The Bockstein homomorphism
§4. Special values of p-adic L-functions
  4.1. The Bloch-Kato conjecture
  4.2. The complex $\mathbf{R}\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V)$
  4.3. The module of p-adic L-functions
Appendix. Galois cohomology of p-adic representations

Introduction

0.1. In [Ben2], using ideas of Colmez [C4] we defined a natural generalization of Greenberg's $\mathcal{L}$-invariant [G] to pseudo-geometric representations V of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ which are semistable at p. More precisely, assume that V satisfies the following conditions:

1) $H^0(V)=H^0(V^*(1))=0$ and $H^1_f(V)=H^1_f(V^*(1))=0$;

2) V is semistable at p and the map $1-p^{-1}\varphi^{-1}$ acts semisimply on $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}}(V)$.

3) $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}}(V)^{\varphi=1}=0$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11F80, 11R23, 11G40, 11S.

4) The (φ,Γ)-module $\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)$ has no crystalline subquotient of the form

$$0\to\mathcal{R}(|x|x^k)\to U\to\mathcal{R}\to 0,\qquad k\geqslant 1.$$

See sections 1.1, 2.1 and 3.1 for unexplained notations and further details. Remark that $\mathcal{R}$ denotes the Robba ring over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and 4) is a direct generalization of Hypothesis U of [G]. Let $t_V(\mathbb{Q}_p)=\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}}(V)/\mathrm{Fil}^0\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}}(V)$ denote the tangent space of V at p. We say that a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-subspace $D\subset\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}}(V)$ is admissible if it is stable under the action of φ and the natural projection $D\to t_V(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is an isomorphism. The main construction of [Ben2] associates to (V,D) a p-adic number $\mathcal{L}(V,D)\in\mathbb{Q}_p$ which coincides with the Greenberg's $\mathcal{L}$-invariant if V is ordinary at p and $D=\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}}(F^1V)$ where F^1V denotes the canonical filtration of V provided by ordinarity.

0.2. The goal of the present paper is to show that this definition is compatible with Perrin-Riou's theory of p-adic L-functions. For a profinite group G and a continuous G-module X we denote by $C^\bullet_c(G,X)$ the standard complex of continuous cochains. Let S be a finite set of primes containing p. Denote by G_S the Galois group of the maximal algebraic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ unramified outside $S\cup\{\infty\}$. Set $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_S(X)=C^\bullet_c(G_S,X)$ and $\mathbf{R}\Gamma(\mathbb{Q}_v,X)=C^\bullet_c(G_v,X)$, where G_v is the absolute Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}_v$. Let $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_c(V)$ denote the complex sitting in the distinguished triangle

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_c(V)\to\mathbf{R}\Gamma_S(V)\to\underset{v\in S\cup\{\infty\}}{\oplus}\mathbf{R}\Gamma(\mathbb{Q}_v,V).$$

The Euler-Poincaré line of V is defined by $\Delta_{\mathrm{EP}}(V)=\det^{-1}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_c(V)$.
Now assume that V is the p-adic realization of a pure motive $M/\mathbb{Q}$. Let M_B and M_{dR} denote the Betti and the de Rham realizations of M and let $t_M(\mathbb{Q})=M_{\mathrm{dR}}/\mathrm{Fil}^0M_{\mathrm{dR}}$ denote the tangent space of M. Fixing non zero elements $\omega_B\in\det_{\mathbb{Q}}M_B^+$ and $\omega_t\in\det_{\mathbb{Q}}t_M(\mathbb{Q})$ one can define a canonical trivialization

$$i_{\omega_t,\omega_B,p}\ :\ \Delta_{\mathrm{EP}}(V)\to\mathbb{Q}_p\,.$$

Let T be a G_S-stable lattice of V. According to the conjecture of Bloch and Kato [BK] in the form of Fontaine and Perrin-Riou [F3]

$$i_{\omega_t,\omega_B,p}(\Delta_{\mathrm{EP}}(T))=\frac{L(M,0)}{\Omega_\infty(\omega_t,\omega_B)}\mathbb{Z}_p,$$

where $\Omega_\infty(\omega_t,\omega_B)$ is the Deligne period. Assume in addition that V is crystalline at p. Fix an admissible subspace D of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$ and a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-lattice N of D. From the semisimplicity of φ we deduce the decomposition $D\simeq D_{-1}\oplus D^{\varphi=p^{-1}}$ where $D_{-1}=(\varphi-p^{-1})\,D$. Set $\Gamma=\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q})$, $\Gamma_1=\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p))$ and $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma_1]]$. Fix a topological generator $\gamma_1\in\Gamma_1$ and denote by $\mathcal{H}$ the ring of operators $f(\gamma_1-1)$ where $f(X)=\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_nX^n\in\mathbb{Q}_p[[X]]$ converges on the p-adic open unit disk. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the field of fractions of $\mathcal{H}$. Fix $h\geqslant 1$ such that $\mathrm{Fil}^{-h}\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)=\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$. Perrin-Riou's theory [PR2] associates to (T,N) a free Λ-module $\mathbf{L}^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(N,T)\subset\mathcal{K}$ Fix a generator $f(\gamma_1-1)$ of $\mathbf{L}^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(N,T)$ and define a meromorphic p-adic function

$$L_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(T,N,s)=f(\chi(\gamma_1)^s-1),$$

where $\chi\ :\ \Gamma\to\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ is the cyclotomic character. Let ω_N be a generator of $\det_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(N)$. The isomorphism $D\simeq t_V(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ allows us to consider ω_N as a basis of $\det_{\mathbb{Q}_p}t_V(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. We also fix a generator $\omega_T\in\det_{\mathbb{Z}_p}T^+$ and define the p-adic period $\Omega_p(\omega_T,\omega_{\mathrm{B}})\in\mathbb{Q}_p$ by $\omega_{\mathrm{B}}=\Omega_p(\omega_T,\omega_{\mathrm{B}})\omega_T$. Our main result can be stated as follows.

Theorem 0.3. *Let V be a pseudo-geometric p-adic representation which is crystalline at p. Assume that it satisfies conditions 1-4). Let D be an admissible subspace of* $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$. *If* $\mathcal{L}(D,V)\neq 0$ *then*

i) $L_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(T,N,s)$ *is a meromorphic p-adic function which has a zero at $s=0$ of order* $e=\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(D^{\varphi=p^{-1}})$.

ii) Let $L^*_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(T,N,0)=\lim_{s\to 0}s^{-e}L_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(T,N,s)$ *be the special value of* $L_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(T,N,s)$ *at $s=0$. Then*

$$L^*_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(T,N,0)\overset{p}{\sim}$$
$$\Gamma(h)^{d_+(V)}\,\mathcal{L}(D,V)\;E_p^*(V,1)\det_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\left(\frac{1-p^{-1}\varphi^{-1}}{1-\varphi}\,|D_{-1}\right)\Omega_p(\omega_T,\omega_{\mathrm{B}})i_{\omega_N,\omega_{\mathrm{B}},p}\,(\Delta_{\mathrm{EP}}(T)),$$

where $\Gamma(h)=(h-1)!$, $d^+(V)=\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(V^+)$, $E_p(V,t)=\det(1-\varphi t\mid \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V))$ *is the Euler factor at p and* $E_p^*(V,t)=E_p(V,t)\left(1-\dfrac{t}{p}\right)^{-e}$.

Remarks 0.4. 1) Assume that V is an arbitrary pseudo-geometric representation which is crystalline at p and such that $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)^{\varphi=1}=\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)^{\varphi=p^{-1}}=0$. In this case the p-adic L-function has no trivial zeros (if exists) and a very general Iwasawa-theoretic descent result is proved in [PR2], Chapitre III. If V satisfies 1-4) and $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)^{\varphi=p^{-1}}=0$, it is easy to see that $\mathcal{L}(D,V)=1$ and Theorem 0.3 is a particular case of this result, but our goal here is to study the case of trivial zeros.
2) Let $E/\mathbb{Q}$ be an elliptic curve having good reduction at p. Consider the p-adic representation $V=\mathrm{Sym}^2(T_p(E))\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p$, where $T_p(E)$ is the p-adic Tate module of E. It is easy to see that $D=\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)^{\varphi=p^{-1}}$ is one dimensional. In this case some versions of Theorem 0.3 were proved in [PR3] and [D] with an ad hoc definition of the $\mathcal{L}$-invariant. Remark that p-adic L-functions attached to the symmetric square of a newform were constructed by Dabrowski and Delbourgo [DD].
3) This theorem suggests that one should exist an analytic p-adic L-function $L_{\mathrm{an}}(T,N,s)$ such that

- $L_{\mathrm{an}}(T,N,s)$ has a zero of order $e-d^+(V)$ at $s=0$;
- $L^*_{\mathrm{an}}(T,N,0)\overset{p}{\sim}\mathcal{L}(D,V)\;E_p^*(V,1)\det_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\left(\dfrac{1-p^{-1}\varphi^{-1}}{1-\varphi}\,|D_{-1}\right)\dfrac{\Omega_p(\omega_T,\omega_{\mathrm{B}})}{\Omega_\infty(\omega_N,\omega_B)}\,L(M,0)$.

0.5. The organization of the paper is as follows. In §1 we review the theory of (φ,Γ)-modules, in particular, the computation of cohomology of (φ,Γ)-modules of rank 1 following [C4]. In §2 we recall preliminaries on the Bloch-Kato exponential map and review the construction of the large exponential map of Perrin-Riou given by Berger [Ber3]. In §3 we review the definition of the $\mathcal{L}$-invariant given in [Ben2] and interpret it in terms of the Bockstein homomorphism associated to the large exponential map. In §4 we prove Theorem 0.3 using the main result of §3 and Nekovář's Iwasawa-theoretic descent techniques. In Appendix we prove derived versions of the well known computation of the local Galois cohomology in terms of (φ,Γ)-modules [H1], [CC2].

Acknowledgements. I am very grateful to Jan Nekovář and Daniel Delbourgo for several interesting discussions and comments concerning this work. The main result of this paper was announced in a talk at the conference "Iwasawa 2008" (Ihrsee/Augsburg) organised by C. Greither and J. Ritter. I would like to thank them very much.

§1. Preliminaries

1.1. (φ,Γ)-modules.

1.1.1. The Robba ring (see [Ber1],[C3]). In this section K is a finite unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ with residue field k_K, O_K its ring of integers, and σ the absolute Frobenius of K. Let $\overline{K}$ an algebraic closure of K, $G_K=\mathrm{Gal}(\bar K/K)$ and C the completion of $\overline{K}$. Let $v_p\,:\,C\to \mathbb{R}\cup\{\infty\}$ denote the p-adic valuation normalized so that $v_p(p)=1$ and set $|x|_p=\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^{v_p(x)}$. Write $B(r,1)$ for the p-adic annulus $B(r,1)=\{x\in C\mid r\leqslant |x|<1\}$. As usually, μ_{p^n} denotes the group of p^n-th roots of unity. Fix a system of primitive roots of unity $\varepsilon=(\zeta_{p^n})_{n\geqslant 0}$, $\zeta_{p^n}\in\mu_{p^n}$ such that $\zeta_{p^n}^p=\zeta_{p^{n-1}}$ for all n. Set $K_n=K(\zeta_{p^n})$, $K_\infty=\bigcup_{n=0}^\infty K_n$, $H_K=\mathrm{Gal}(\bar K/K_\infty)$, $\mathit{\Gamma}=\mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty/K)$ and denote by $\chi\,:\,\mathit{\Gamma}\to\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ the cyclotomic character.

Set

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}^+=\varprojlim_{x\mapsto x^p} O_C/\,p\,O_C\;=\{x=(x_0,x_1,\dots,x_n,\dots)\mid x_i^p=x_i\;\forall i\in\mathbb{N}\}.$$

Let $\hat x_n\in O_C$ be a lifting of x_n. Then for all $m\geqslant 0$ the sequence $\hat x_{m+n}^{p^n}$ converges to $x^{(m)}=\lim_{n\to\infty}\hat x_{m+n}^{p^n}\in O_C$ which does not depend on the choice of liftings. The ring $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}^+$ equipped with the valuation $v_{\mathbf{E}}(x)=v_p(x^{(0)})$ is a complete local ring of characteristic p with residue field $\bar k_K$. Moreover it is integrally closed in his field of fractions $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}=\mathrm{Fr}(\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}^+)$.

Let $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}=W(\widetilde{\mathbf{E}})$ be the ring of Witt vectors with coefficients in $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}$. Denote by $[\;]\,:\,\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}\to W(\widetilde{\mathbf{E}})$ the Teichmuller lift. Any $u=(u_0,u_1,\dots)\in\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$ can be written in the form

$$u=\sum_{n=0}^\infty [u^{p^{-n}}]p^n.$$

Set $\pi=[\varepsilon]-1$, $\mathbf{A}^+_{K_0}=O_{K_0}[[\pi]]$ and denote by $\mathbf{A}_K$ the p-adic completion of $\mathbf{A}^+_K\,[1/\pi]$. Let $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}=\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}\,[1/p]$, $\mathbf{B}_K=\mathbf{A}_K\,[1/p]$ and let $\mathbf{B}$ denote the completion of the maximal unramified extension of $\mathbf{B}_K$ in $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}$. Set $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{B}\cap\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$, $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^+=W(\mathbf{E}^+)$, $\mathbf{A}^+=\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^+\cap\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}^+=\mathbf{A}^+\,[1/p]$. All these rings are endowed with natural actions of the Galois group G_K and Frobenius φ.

Set $\mathbf{A}_K=\mathbf{A}^{H_K}$ and $\mathbf{B}_K=\mathbf{A}_K\,[1/p]$. Remark that Γ and φ act on $\mathbf{B}_K$ by

$$\begin{aligned}&\tau(\pi)=(1+\pi)^{\chi(\tau)}-1, &&\tau\in\Gamma\\ &\varphi(\pi)=(1+\pi)^p-1.\end{aligned}$$

For any $r>0$ define

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger,r}\;=\;\left\{x\in\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}\mid\lim_{k\to+\infty}\left(v_{\mathbf{E}}(x_k)\;+\;\frac{pr}{p-1}\,k\right)\;=\;+\infty\right\}.$$

Set $\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,r}=\mathbf{B}\cap\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger,r}$, $\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,r}_K=\mathbf{B}_K\cap\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,r}$, $\mathbf{B}^\dagger=\underset{r>0}{\cup}\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,r}$ $\mathbf{A}^\dagger=\mathbf{A}\cap\mathbf{B}^\dagger$ and $\mathbf{B}^\dagger_K=\underset{r>0}{\cup}\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,r}_K$.

It can be shown that for any $r\geqslant p-1$

$$\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,r}_K=\left\{f(\pi)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}a_k\pi^k\;\mid\;a_k\in K\text{ and }f\text{ is holomorphic and bounded on }B(r,1)\right\}.$$

Define

$$\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,r}_{\mathrm{rig},K}\;=\;\left\{f(\pi)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}a_k\pi^k\;\mid\;a_k\in K\text{ and }f\text{ is holomorphic on }B(r,1)\right\}.$$

Set $\mathcal{R}(K)=\underset{r\geqslant p-1}{\cup}\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,r}_{\mathrm{rig},K}$ and $\mathcal{R}^+(K)=\mathcal{R}(K)\cap K[[\pi]]$. It is not difficult to check that these rings are stable under Γ and φ. To simplify notations we will write $\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $\mathcal{R}^+=\mathcal{R}^+(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

1.1.2. (φ,Γ)**-modules** (see [F2], [CC1]). Let A be either $\mathbf{B}^\dagger_K$ or $\mathcal{R}(K)$. A (φ,Γ)-module over A is a finitely generated free A-module D equipped with semilinear actions of φ and Γ commuting to each other and such that the induced linear map $\varphi\,:\,A\otimes_\varphi D\longrightarrow D$ is an isomorphism. Such a module is said to be etale if it admits a $\mathbf{A}^\dagger_K$-lattice N stable under φ and Γ and such that $\varphi\,:\,\mathbf{A}^\dagger_K\otimes_\varphi N\longrightarrow N$ is an isomorphism. The functor $D\mapsto \mathcal{R}(K)\otimes_{\mathbf{B}^\dagger_K}D$ induces an equivalence between the category of etale (φ,Γ)-modules over $\mathbf{B}^\dagger_K$ and the category of (φ,Γ)-modules over $\mathcal{R}(K)$ which are of slope 0 in the sense of Kedlaya's theory ([Ke] and [C5], Corollary 1.5). Then Fontaine's classification of p-adic representations [F2] together with the main result of [CC1] lead to the following statement.

Proposition 1.1.3. *i) The functor*

$$\mathbf{D}^\dagger\ :\ V\mapsto \mathbf{D}^\dagger(V)=(\mathbf{B}^\dagger\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}V)^{H_K}$$

establishes an equivalence between the category of p-adic representations of G_K and the category of etale (φ,Γ)-modules over $\mathbf{B}^\dagger_K$.

ii) The functor $\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)=\mathcal{R}(K)\otimes_{\mathbf{B}^\dagger_K}\mathbf{D}^\dagger(V)$ gives an equivalence between the category of p-adic representations of G_K and the category of (φ,Γ)-modules over $\mathcal{R}(K)$ of slope 0.

Proof. see [C4], Proposition 1.7.

1.1.4. Cohomology of (φ,Γ)**-modules** (see [H1], [H2], [Li]). Fix a generator γ of Γ. If D is a (φ,Γ)-module over A, we denote by $C_{\varphi,\gamma}(D)$ the complex

$$C_{\varphi,\gamma}(D)\ :\ 0\xrightarrow{f}D\longrightarrow D\oplus D\xrightarrow{g}D\longrightarrow 0$$

where $f(x)=((\varphi-1)\,x,(\gamma-1)\,x)$ and $g(y,z)=(\gamma-1)\,y-(\varphi-1)\,z$. Set $H^i(D)=H^i(C_{\varphi,\gamma}(D))$. A short exact sequence of (φ,Γ)-modules

$$0\longrightarrow D'\longrightarrow D\longrightarrow D''\longrightarrow 0$$

gives rise to an exact cohomology sequence:

$$0\longrightarrow H^0(D')\longrightarrow H^0(D)\longrightarrow H^0(D'')\longrightarrow H^1(D')\longrightarrow\cdots\longrightarrow H^2(D'')\longrightarrow 0.$$

Proposition 1.1.5. *Let V be a p-adic representation of G_K. Then*

i) The complexes $\mathbf{R}\Gamma(K,V)$, $C_{\varphi,\gamma}(\mathbf{D}^\dagger(V))$ and $C_{\varphi,\gamma}(\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))$ are isomorphic in the derived category of $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector spaces $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

Proof. This is a derived version of Herr's computation of Galois cohomology [H1]. The proof is given in the Appendix, Propositions A.3 and Corollary A.4.

1.1.6. Recall that Λ denotes the Iwasawa algebra of Γ_1, $\Delta=\mathrm{Gal}(K_1/K)$ and $\Lambda(\Gamma)=\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\Lambda$. Let $\iota\ :\ \Lambda(\Gamma)\longrightarrow\Lambda(\Gamma)$ denote the involution defined by $\iota(g)=g^{-1}$, $g\in\Gamma$. If T is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-adic representation of G_K, then the induced module $\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\infty/K}(T)$ is isomorphic to $(\Lambda(\Gamma)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}T)^\iota$ and we set

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K,T)\ =\ \mathbf{R}\Gamma(K,\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\infty/K}(T)).$$

Write $H^i_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K,T)$ for the Iwasawa cohomology

$$H^i_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K,T)=\varprojlim_{\mathrm{cor}_{K_n/K_{n-1}}} H^i(K_n,T).$$

Recall that there are canonical and functorial isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{R}^i\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K,T)&\simeq H^i_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K,T), \qquad i\geqslant 0,\\ \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K,T)\otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_{\Lambda(\Gamma)}\mathbb{Z}_p[G_n]&\simeq \mathbf{R}\Gamma(K_n,T)\end{aligned}$$

(see [N2], Proposition 8.4.22). The interpretation of the Iwasawa cohomology in terms of (φ,Γ)-modules was found by Fontaine (unpublished but see [CC2]). We give here the derived version of this result. Let $\psi\,:\,\mathbf{B}\to\mathbf{B}$ be the operator defined by the formula $\psi(x)=\frac{1}{p}\varphi^{-1}\left(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{B}/\varphi(\mathbf{B})}(x)\right)$. We see immediately that $\psi\circ\varphi=\mathrm{id}$. Moreover ψ commutes with the action of G_K and $\psi(\mathbf{A}^\dagger)=\mathbf{A}^\dagger$. Consider the complexes

$$\begin{aligned}C_{\mathrm{Iw},\psi}(T)\;&:\;\mathbf{D}(T)\xrightarrow{\psi-1}\mathbf{D}(T),\\ C^\dagger_{\mathrm{Iw},\psi}(T)\;&:\;\mathbf{D}^\dagger(T)\xrightarrow{\psi-1}\mathbf{D}^\dagger(T).\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 1.1.7. *i) The complexes $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K,T)$, $C_{\mathrm{Iw},\psi}(T)$ and $C^\dagger_{\mathrm{Iw},\psi}(T)$ are naturally isomorphic in the derived category $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda(\Gamma))$ of $\Lambda(\Gamma)$-modules.*

Proof. See Proposition A.7 and Corollary A.8.

1.1.8. Finally, recall the computation of the cohomology of (φ,Γ)-modules of rank 1 following Colmez [C4]. As in [C4], we consider the case $K=\mathbb{Q}_p$ and put $\mathcal{R}=\mathbf{B}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig},\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and $\mathcal{R}^+=\mathbf{B}^+_{\mathrm{rig},\mathbb{Q}_p}$. The differential operator $\partial=(1+\pi)\dfrac{d}{d\pi}$ acts on $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}^+$. If $\delta\,:\,\mathbb{Q}_p^*\to\mathbb{Q}_p^*$ is a continuous character, we write $\mathcal{R}(\delta)$ for the (φ,Γ)-module $\mathcal{R}e_\delta$ defined by $\varphi(e_\delta)=\delta(p)e_\delta$ and $\gamma(e_\delta)=\delta(\chi(\tau))\,e_\delta$. Let x denote the character induced by the natural inclusion of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ in L and $|x|$ the character defined by $|x|=p^{-v_p(x)}$.

Proposition 1.1.9. *Let $\delta\,:\,\mathbb{Q}_p^*\to\mathbb{Q}_p^*$ be a continuous character. Then:*

i)

$$H^0(\mathcal{R}(\delta))=\begin{cases}\mathbb{Q}_pt^m & \text{if } \delta=x^{-m},\ k\in\mathbb{N}\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

ii)

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(H^1(\mathcal{R}(\delta)))=\begin{cases}2 & \text{if either } \delta(x)=x^{-m},\ m\geqslant 0 \text{ or } \delta(x)=|x|x^m,\ k\geqslant 1,\\ 1 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

iii) Assume that $\delta(x)=x^{-m}$, $m\geqslant 0$. The classes $\mathrm{cl}(t^m,0)\,e_\delta$ and $\mathrm{cl}(0,t^m)\,e_\delta$ form a basis of $H^1(\mathcal{R}(x^{-m}))$.

iv) Assume that $\delta(x)=|x|x^m$, $m\geqslant 1$. Then $H^1(\mathcal{R}(|x|x^m))$, $m\geqslant 1$ is generated by $\mathrm{cl}(\alpha_m)$ and $\mathrm{cl}(\beta_m)$ where

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_m&=\frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{(m-1)!}\,\partial^{m-1}\left(\frac{1}{\pi}+\frac{1}{2},a\right)e_\delta, \qquad (1-\varphi)\,a=(1-\chi(\gamma)\gamma)\left(\frac{1}{\pi}+\frac{1}{2}\right),\\ \beta_m&=\frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{(m-1)!}\,\partial^{m-1}\left(b,\frac{1}{\pi}\right)e_\delta, \qquad (1-\varphi)\left(\frac{1}{\pi}\right)=(1-\chi(\gamma)\,\gamma)\,b\end{aligned}$$

Proof. See [C4], sections 2.3-2.5.

1.2. Crystalline representations.

1.2.1. The rings $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}$ (see [F1], [F4]). Let $\theta_0 \,:\, \mathbf{A}^+ \longrightarrow O_C$ be the map given by the formula

$$\theta_0\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}[u_n]p^n\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n^{(0)}p^n.$$

It can be shown that θ_0 is a surjective ring homomorphism and that $\ker(\theta_0)$ is the principal ideal generated by $\omega = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{p-1}[\epsilon]^{i/p}$. By linearity, θ_0 can be extended to a map $\theta \,:\, \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^+ \longrightarrow C$. The ring $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ is defined to be the completion of $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^+$ for the $\ker(\theta)$-adic topology:

$$\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ = \varprojlim_n \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^+/ker(\theta)^n.$$

This is a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field C equipped with a natural action of G_K. Moreover, there exists a canonical embedding $\bar{K} \subset \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$. The series $t = \sum\limits_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n-1}\pi^n/n$ converges in the topology of $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ and it is easy to see that t generates the maximal ideal of $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$. The Galois group acts on t by the formula $g(t) = \chi(g)t$. Let $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}} = \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+[t^{-1}]$ be the field of fractions of $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$. This is a complete discrete valuation field equipped with a G_K-action and an exhaustive separated decreasing filtration $\mathrm{Fil}^i\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}} = t^i\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$. As G_K-module, $\mathrm{Fil}^i\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}/\mathrm{Fil}^{i+1}\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}} \simeq C(i)$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^{G_K} = K$.

Consider the PD-envelope of $\mathbf{A}^+$ with a respect to the map θ_0

$$\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{PD}} = \mathbf{A}^+\left[\frac{\omega^2}{2!}, \frac{\omega^3}{3!}, \dots, \frac{\omega^n}{n!}, \dots\right]$$

and denote by $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{cris}}^+$ its p-adic completion. Let $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}^+ = \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{cris}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cris}} = \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}^+[t^{-1}]$. Then $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}$ is a subring of $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}$ endowed with the induced filtration and Galois action. Moreover, it is equipped with a continuous Frobenius φ, extending the map $\varphi \,:\, \mathbf{A}^+ \longrightarrow \mathbf{A}^+$. One has $\varphi(t) = p\,t$.

1.2.2. Crystalline representations (see [F5], [Ber1], [Ber2]).
Let L be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Denote by K its maximal unramified subextension. A filtered Dieudonné module over L is a finite dimensional K- vector space M equipped with the following structures:

- a σ-semilinear bijective map $\varphi \,:\, M \longrightarrow M$;
- an exhaustive decreasing filtration $(\mathrm{Fil}^i M_L)$ on the L-vector space $M_L = L \otimes_K M$.

A K-linear map $f \,:\, M \longrightarrow M'$ is said to be a morphism of filtered modules if

- $f(\varphi(d)) = \varphi(f(d))$, for all $d \in M$;
- $f(\mathrm{Fil}^i M_L) \subset \mathrm{Fil}^i M'_L$, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The category $\mathbf{MF}_L^{\varphi}$ of filtered Dieudonné modules is additive, has kernels and cokernels but is not abelian. Denote by $\mathbf{1}$ the vector space K_0 with the natural action of σ and the filtration given by

$$\mathrm{Fil}^i\mathbf{1} = \begin{cases} K, & \text{if } i \leqslant 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } i > 0. \end{cases}$$

Then $\mathbf{1}$ is a unit object of $\mathbf{MF}_L^{\varphi}$ i.e. $M \otimes \mathbf{1} \simeq \mathbf{1} \otimes M \simeq M$ for any M.

If M is a one dimensional Dieudonné module and d is a basis vector of M, then $\varphi(d) = \alpha v$ for some $\alpha \in K$. Set $t_N(M) = v_p(\alpha)$ and denote by $t_H(M)$ the unique filtration jump of M. If M is of an arbitrary finite dimension d, set $t_N(M)=t_N(\overset{d}{\wedge}M)$ and $t_H(M)=t_H(\overset{d}{\wedge}M)$. A Dieudonné module M is said to be weakly admissible if $t_H(M)=t_N(M)$ and if $t_H(M')\leqslant t_N(M')$ for any φ-submodule $M'\subset M$ equipped with the induced filtration. Weakly admissible modules form a subcategory of $\mathbf{MF}_L$ which we denote by $\mathbf{MF}_L^{\varphi,f}$.

If V is a p-adic representation of G_L, define $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)=(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}\otimes V)^{G_L}$. Then $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)$ is a L-vector space equipped with the decreasing filtration $\mathrm{Fil}^i\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)=(\mathrm{Fil}^i\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}\otimes V)^{G_L}$. One has $\dim_L\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)\leqslant\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(V)$ and V is said to be de Rham if $\dim_L\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)=\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(V)$. Analogously one defines $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)=(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}\otimes V)^{G_L}$. Then $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$ is a filtered Dieudonné module over L of dimension $\dim_K\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)\leqslant\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(V)$ and V is said to be crystalline if the equality holds here. In particular, for crystalline representations one has $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)=\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)\otimes_K L$. By the theorem of Colmez-Fontaine [CF], the functor $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}$ establishes an equivalence between the category of crystalline representations of G_L and $\mathbf{MF}_L^{\varphi,f}$. Its quasi-inverse $\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{cris}}$ is given by $\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{cris}}(D)=\mathrm{Fil}^0(D\otimes_{K_0}\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cris}})^{\varphi=1}$.

An important result of Berger ([Ber 1], Theorem 0.2) says that $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$ can be recovered from the (φ,Γ)-module $\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)$. The situation is particularly simple if If $L/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is unramified. In this case set $\mathbf{D}^+(V)=(V\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathbf{B}^+)^{H_K}$ and $\mathbf{D}^+_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)=\mathcal{R}^+(K)\otimes_{\mathbf{B}^+_K}\mathbf{D}^+(V)$. Then

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)\;=\;\left(\mathbf{D}^+_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)\left[\frac{1}{t}\right]\right)^{\Gamma}$$

(see [Ber2], Proposition 3.4).

§2. The exponential map

2.1. The Bloch-Kato exponential map ([BK], [N1], [FP]).

2.1.1. Let L be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Recall that we denote by $\mathbf{MF}_L^{\varphi}$ the category of filtered Dieudonné modules over L. If M is an object of $\mathbf{MF}_L^{\varphi}$, define

$$H^i(L,M)=\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{MF}_L^{\varphi}}(\mathbf{1},M),\qquad i=0,1.$$

Remark that $H^*(L,M)$ can be computed explicitly as the cohomology of the complex

$$C^{\bullet}(M)\;:\;M\xrightarrow{f}(M_L/\mathrm{Fil}^0M_L)\oplus M$$

where the modules are placed in degrees 0 and 1 and $f(d)=(d\pmod{\mathrm{Fil}^0M_L},(1-\varphi)(d))$ ([N1],[FP]). Remark that if M is weakly admissible then each extension $0\to M\to M'\to\mathbf{1}\to 0$ is weakly admissible too and we can write $H^i(L,M)=\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{MF}_L^{\varphi,f}}(\mathbf{1},M)$.

2.1.2. Let $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathrm{cris}}(G_K)$ denote the category of crystalline representations of G_K. For any object V of $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathrm{cris}}(G_K)$ define

$$H^i_f(K,V)=\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathrm{cris}}(G_K)}(\mathbb{Q}_p(0),V).$$

An easy computation shows that

$$H^i_f(K,V)=\begin{cases}H^0(K,V), & \text{if } i=0,\\ \ker\left(H^1(K,V)\to H^1(K,V\otimes\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cris}})\right), & \text{if } i=1,\\ 0, & \text{if } i\geqslant 2.\end{cases}$$

Let $t_V(K)=\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)/\mathrm{Fil}^0\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)$ denote the tangent space of V. The rings $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}$ are related to each other via the fundamental exact sequence

$$0\rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p\rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}\xrightarrow{f}\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}/\mathrm{Fil}^0\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}\oplus\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}\rightarrow 0$$

where $f(x)=(x\pmod{\mathrm{Fil}^0\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}},(1-\varphi)\,x)$ (see [BK], §4). Tensoring this sequence with V and taking cohomology one obtains an exact sequence

$$0\rightarrow H^0(K,V)\rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)\rightarrow t_V(K)\oplus\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)\rightarrow H^1_f(K,V)\rightarrow 0.$$

The last map of this sequence gives rise to the Bloch-Kato exponential map

$$\exp_{V,K}\;:\;t_V(K)\oplus\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)\rightarrow H^1(K,V).$$

Following [F3] set

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(K,V)\;=\;C^\bullet(\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V))\;=\;\left[\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)\xrightarrow{f}t_V(K)\oplus\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)\right].$$

From the classification of crystalline representations in terms of Dieudonné modules it follows that the functor $\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{cris}}$ induces natural isomorphisms

$$r^i_{V,p}\;:\;\mathbf{R}^i\Gamma_f(K,V)\rightarrow H^i_f(K,V),\qquad i=0,1.$$

The composite homomorphism

$$t_K(V)\oplus\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)\rightarrow\mathbf{R}^1\Gamma_f(K,V)\xrightarrow{r^i_{V,p}}H^i(K,V)$$

coincides with the Bloch-Kato exponential map $\exp_{V,K}$ ([N1], Proposition 1.21).

2.1.3. Let $g\,:\,B^\bullet\rightarrow C^\bullet$ be a morphism of complexes. We denote by $\mathrm{Tot}^\bullet(g)$ the complex $\mathrm{Tot}^n(g)=C^{n-1}\oplus B^n$ with differentials $d^n\;:\;\mathrm{Tot}^n(g)\rightarrow\mathrm{Tot}^{n+1}(g)$ defined by the formula $d^n(c,b)=((-1)^ng^n(b)+d^{n-1}(c),d^n(b))$. It is well known that if $0\rightarrow A^\bullet\xrightarrow{f}B^\bullet\xrightarrow{g}C^\bullet\rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of complexes, then f induces a quasi isomorphism $A^\bullet\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathrm{Tot}^\bullet(g)$. In particular, tensoring the fundamental exact sequence with V, we obtain an exact sequence of complexes

$$0\rightarrow\mathbf{R}\Gamma(K,V)\rightarrow C^\bullet_c(G_K,V\otimes\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cris}})\xrightarrow{f}C^\bullet_c(G_K,(V\otimes(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}/\mathrm{Fil}^0\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}))\oplus(V\otimes\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}))\rightarrow 0$$

which gives a quasi isomorphism $\mathbf{R}\Gamma(K,V)\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathrm{Tot}^\bullet(f)$. Since $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(K,V)$ coincides tautologically with the complex

$$C^0_c(G_K,V\otimes\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cris}})\xrightarrow{f}C^0_c(G_K,(V\otimes(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}/F^0\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}))\oplus(V\otimes\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}))$$

we obtain a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{R}\Gamma(K,V) \arrow[r, "\sim"] & \mathrm{Tot}^\bullet(f) \\
 & \mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(K,V) \arrow[u] \arrow[ul, dotted]
\end{tikzcd}$$

which defines a morphism $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(K,V) \to \mathbf{R}\Gamma(K,V)$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ (see [BF], Proposition 1.17). Remark that the induced homomorphisms $\mathbf{R}^i\Gamma_f(K,V) \to H^i(K,V)$ $(i=0,1)$ coincide with the composition of $r^i_{V,p}$ with natural embeddings $H^i_f(K,V) \to H^i(K,V)$.

2.1.4. In this subsection we define an analogue of the exponential map for crystalline (φ,Γ)-modules. Let $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be an unramified extension. If D is a (φ,Γ)-module over $\mathcal{R}(K)$ define

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(D) \,=\, \left(D\,[1/t]\right)^{\Gamma}.$$

It can be shown that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(D)$ is a finite dimensional K-vector space equipped with a natural decreasing filtration $\mathrm{Fil}^i\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(D)$ and a semilinear action of φ. One says that D is crystalline if

$$\dim_K(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(D)) \,=\, \mathrm{rg}(D).$$

(see [BC]). From [Ber4], Théorème A it follows that the functor $D \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(D)$ is an equivalence between the category of crystalline (φ,Γ)-modules and $\mathbf{MF}^{\varphi}_K$. Remark that if V is a p-adic representation of G_K then $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))$ and V is crystalline if and only if $\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)$ is.

Let D be a (φ,Γ)-module. To any cocycle $\alpha=(a,b)\in Z^1(C_{\varphi,\gamma}(D))$ one can associate the extension

$$0 \to D \to D_\alpha \to \mathcal{R}(K) \to 0$$

defined by

$$D_\alpha = D \oplus \mathcal{R}(K)\,e, \qquad (\varphi-1)\,e = a, \quad (\gamma-1)\,e=b.$$

As usually, this gives rise to an isomorphism $H^1(D)\simeq \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{R}(K),D)$. We say that $\mathrm{cl}(\alpha)$ is crystalline if $\dim_K(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(D_\alpha)) = \dim_K(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(D))+1$ and define

$$H^1_f(D) \,=\, \{\mathrm{cl}(\alpha)\in H^1(D) \mid \mathrm{cl}(\alpha) \text{ is crystalline}\}$$

(see [Ben2], section 1.4.1). If D is crystalline (or more generally potentially semistable) one has a natural isomorphism

$$H^1(K,\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(D)) \to H^1_f(D).$$

Set $t_D = \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(D)/\mathrm{Fil}^0\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(D)$ and denote by $\exp_D\,:\,t_D\oplus\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(D)\to H^1(D)$ the composition of this isomorphism with the projection $t_D\oplus\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(D)\to H^1(K,\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(D))$ and the embedding $H^1_f(D)\hookrightarrow H^1(D)$.

2.1.5. Assume that $K=\mathbb{Q}_p$. To simplify notation we will write D_m for $\mathcal{R}(|x|x^m)$ and e_m for its canonical basis. Then $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(D_m)$ is the one dimensional $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector space generated by $t^{-m}e_m$. As in [Ben2], we normalize the basis $(\mathrm{cl}(\alpha_m),\mathrm{cl}(\beta_m))$ of $H^1(D_m)$ putting $\alpha_m^* = (1-1/p)\,\mathrm{cl}(\alpha_m)$ and $\beta_m^* = (1-1/p)\log(\chi(\gamma))\,\mathrm{cl}(\beta_m)$.

Proposition 2.1.6. *i) $H^1_f(D_m)$ is the one-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector space generated by α_m^*.*

ii) The exponential map

$$\exp_{D_m}\,:\,t_{D_m}\to H^1(D_m)$$

sends $t^{-m}w_m$ to $-\alpha_m^*$.

Proof. This is a reformulation of [Ben2], Proposition 1.5.8 ii).

2.2. The large exponential map.

2.2.1. In this section p is an odd prime number, K is a finite unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and σ the absolute Frobenius acting on K. Recall that $K_n = K(\zeta_{p^n})$ and $K_\infty = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$. We set $\Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty/K)$, $\Gamma_n = \mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty/K_n)$ and $\Delta = \mathrm{Gal}(K_1/K)$. Let $\mathit{\Lambda} = \mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma_1]]$ and $\Lambda(\Gamma) = \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda$. We will consider the following operators acting on the ring $K[[X]]$ of formal power series with coefficients in K:

- The ring homomorphism $\sigma : K[[X]] \to K[[X]]$ defined by $\sigma\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i X^i\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sigma(a_i) X^i$;
- The ring homomorphism $\varphi : K[[X]] \to K[[X]]$ defined by

$$\varphi\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i X^i\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sigma(a_i) \varphi(X)^i, \qquad \varphi(X) = (1+X)^p - 1.$$

- The differential operator $\partial = (1+X)\dfrac{d}{dX}$. One has $\partial \circ \varphi = p\varphi \circ \partial$.
- The operator $\psi : K[[X]] \to K[[X]]$ defined by $\psi(f(X)) = \dfrac{1}{p}\varphi^{-1}\left(\sum_{\zeta^p=1} f((1+X)\zeta - 1)\right)$.

It is easy to see that ψ is a left inverse to φ, i.e. that $\psi \circ \varphi = \mathrm{id}$.

- An action of Γ given by $\gamma\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i X^i\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \gamma(X)^i, \qquad \gamma(X) = (1+X)^{\chi(\gamma)} - 1.$

Remark that these formulas are compatible with the definitions from sections 1.1.1 and 1.1.6. Fix a generator $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma_1$ and define

$$\mathcal{H} = \{f(\gamma_1 - 1) \mid f \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[X]] \text{ is holomorphic on } B(0,1)\}, \qquad \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) = \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{H}.$$

2.2.2. It is well known that $\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]^{\psi=0}$ is a free Λ-module generated by $(1+X)$ and the operator ∂ is bijective on $\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]^{\psi=0}$. If V is a crystalline representation of G_K put $\mathcal{D}(V) = \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]^{\psi=0}$. Let $\boldsymbol{\Xi}^{\varepsilon}_{V,n} : \mathcal{D}(V)_{\Gamma_n}[-1] \to \mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(K_n, V)$ be the map defined by

$$\boldsymbol{\Xi}^{\varepsilon}_{V,n}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} p^{-n}(\sum_{k=1}^{n} (\sigma \otimes \varphi)^{-k} \alpha(\zeta_{p^k} - 1),\, -\alpha(0)) & \text{if } n \geqslant 1, \\ \mathrm{Tr}_{K_1/K}\left(\boldsymbol{\Xi}^{\varepsilon}_{V,1}(\alpha)\right) & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

An easy computation shows that $\boldsymbol{\Xi}^{\varepsilon}_{V,0} : \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)[-1] \to \mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(K, V)$ is given by the formula

$$\boldsymbol{\Xi}^{\varepsilon}_{V,0}(a) = \frac{1}{p}\,(-\varphi^{-1}(a), -(p-1)\,a).$$

In particular, it is homotopic to the map $a \mapsto -(0, (1 - p^{-1}\varphi^{-1})\,a)$. Write

$$\Xi^{\varepsilon}_{V,n} : \mathcal{D}(V) \to \mathbf{R}^1\Gamma(K_n, V) = \frac{t_V(K_n) \oplus \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)}{\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)/V^{G_K}}$$

denote the homomorphism induced by $\boldsymbol{\Xi}^{\varepsilon}_{V,n}$. Then

$$\Xi^{\varepsilon}_{V,0}(a) = -(0, (1 - p^{-1}\varphi^{-1})\,a) \pmod{\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)/V^{G_K}}.$$

If $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)^{\varphi=1}=0$ the operator $1-\varphi$ is invertible on $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$ and we can write

$$\Xi^{\varepsilon}_{V,0}(a)=\left(\frac{1-p^{-1}\varphi^{-1}}{1-\varphi}\,a,0\right)\pmod{\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)/V^{G_K}}. \tag{2.1}$$

For any $i\in\mathbb{Z}$ let $\Delta_i\,:\,\mathcal{D}(V)\to\dfrac{\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)}{(1-p^i\varphi)\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)}\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p(i)$ be the map given by

$$\Delta_i(\alpha(X))=\partial^i\alpha(0)\otimes\varepsilon^{\otimes i}\pmod{(1-p^i\varphi)\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)}.$$

Set $\Delta=\oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\Delta_i$. If $\alpha\in\mathcal{D}(V)^{\Delta=0}$, then by [PR1], Proposition 2.2.1 there exists $F\in\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathbb{Q}_p[[X]]$ which converges on the open unit disk and such that $(1-\varphi)F=\alpha$. A short computation shows that

$$\Xi^{\varepsilon}_{V,n}(\alpha)=p^{-n}((\sigma\otimes\varphi)^{-n}(F)(\zeta_{p^n}-1),0)\pmod{\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)/V^{G_K}},\qquad\text{if } n\geqslant 1$$

(see [BB], Lemme 4.9).

2.2.3. As $\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]\,[1/p]$ is a principal ideal domain and $\mathcal{H}$ is $\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]\,[1/p]$-torsion free, $\mathcal{H}$ is flat. Thus

$$C^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{Iw},\psi}(V)\otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)\;=\;C^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{Iw},\psi}(V)\otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)\;=\;\left[\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)\otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}(V)\xrightarrow{\psi-1}\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)\otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}(V)\right].$$

By proposition 1.1.7 on has an isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}(\Gamma))$

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K,V)\otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)\simeq C^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{Iw},\psi}(V)\otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}\mathcal{H}(\Gamma).$$

The action of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ on $\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}(V)^{\psi=1}$ induces an injection $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)\otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}(V)^{\psi=1}\hookrightarrow\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)^{\psi=1}$. Composing this map with the canonical isomorphism $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K,V)\simeq\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}(V)^{\psi=1}$ we obtain a map $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)\otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K,V)\hookrightarrow\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)^{\psi=1}$. For any $k\in\mathbb{Z}$ set $\nabla_k=t\partial-k=t\dfrac{d}{dt}-k$. An easy induction shows that $\nabla_{k-1}\circ\nabla_{k-2}\circ\cdots\circ\nabla_0=t^k\partial^k$.

Fix $h\geqslant 1$ such that $\mathrm{Fil}^{-h}\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)=\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$ and $V(-h)^{G_K}=0$. For any $\alpha\in\mathcal{D}(V)^{\Delta=0}$ define

$$\Omega^{\varepsilon}_{V,h}(\alpha)\;=\;(-1)^{h-1}\frac{\log\chi(\gamma_1)}{p}\,\nabla_{h-1}\circ\nabla_{h-2}\circ\cdots\nabla_0(F(\pi)),$$

where $F\in\mathcal{H}(V)$ is such that $(1-\varphi)\,F=\alpha$. It is easy to see that $\Omega^{\varepsilon}_{V,h}(\alpha)\in\mathbf{D}^{+}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)^{\psi=1}$. In [Ber3] Berger shows that $\Omega^{\varepsilon}_{V,h}(\alpha)\in\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)\otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}(V)^{\psi=1}$ and therefore gives rise to a map

$$\mathbf{E}\mathrm{xp}^{\varepsilon}_{V,h}\,:\,\mathcal{D}(V)^{\Delta=0}[-1]\to\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K,V)\otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$$

Let

$$\mathrm{Exp}^{\varepsilon}_{V,h}\,:\,\mathcal{D}(V)^{\Delta=0}\to\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)\otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K,V)$$

denote the map induced by $\mathbf{E}\mathrm{xp}^{\varepsilon}_{V,h}$ in degree 1. The following theorem is a reformulation of the construction of the large exponential map given by Berger in [Ber3].

Theorem 2.2.4. *Let*

$$\mathbf{E}\mathrm{xp}^{\varepsilon}_{V,h,n} \; : \; \mathcal{D}(V)^{\Delta=0}_{\mathit{\Gamma}_n}[-1] \to \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K,V) \otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \mathbb{Q}_p[G_n].$$

denote the map induced by $\mathbf{E}\mathrm{xp}^{\varepsilon}_{V,h}$. *Then for any* $n \geqslant 0$ *the following diagram in* $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p[G_n])$ *is commutative:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{D}(V)^{\Delta=0}_{\Gamma_n}[-1] \arrow[r, "\mathbf{E}\mathrm{xp}^{\varepsilon}_{V,h,n}"] \arrow[d, "\mathbf{\Xi}^{\varepsilon}_{V,n}"] & \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K,V) \otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \mathbb{Q}_p[G_n] \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(K_n,V) \arrow[r, "(h-1)!"] & \mathbf{R}\Gamma(K_n,V)\,.
\end{tikzcd}$$

In particular, $\mathrm{Exp}^{\varepsilon}_{V,h}$ *coincides with the large exponential map of Perrin-Riou.*

Proof. Passing to cohomology in the previous diagram one obtains the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{D}(V)^{\Delta=0} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Exp}^{\varepsilon}_{V,h}"] \arrow[d, "\mathit{\Xi}^{\varepsilon}_{V,n}"'] & \mathcal{H}(\mathit{\Gamma}) \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K,V) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{pr}_{V,n}"] \\
\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}/K_n}(V) \oplus \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) \arrow[r, "(h-1)!\ \exp_{V,K_n}"] & H^1(K_n,V)
\end{tikzcd}$$

which is exactly the definition of the large exponential map. Its commutativity is proved in [Ber3], Theorem II.13. Now, the theorem is an immediate consequence of the following remark. Let D be a free A-module and let $f_1, f_2 \, : \, D[-1] \to K^{\bullet}$ be two maps from $D[-1]$ to a complex of A-modules such that the induced maps $h_1(f_1)$ and $h(f_2) \, : \, D \to H^1(K^{\bullet})$ coincide. Then f_1 and f_2 are homotopic.

§3. The $\mathcal{L}$-invariant

3.1. Definition of the $\mathcal{L}$-invariant ([Ben2]).

3.1.1. In this section we recall the definition of the $\mathcal{L}$-invariant for the case of crystalline representations. For further details and proofs see [Ben2], §2. Let S be a finite set of primes of $\mathbb{Q}$ containing p and G_S the Galois group of the maximal algebraic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ unramified outside $S \cup \{\infty\}$. For each place v we denote by G_v the decomposition at v group and by I_v and f_v the inertia subgroup and Frobenius automorphism respectively. Let V be a p-adic pseudo-geometric representation of G_S. Thus V is a de Rham at p. For any $v \notin \{p, \infty\}$ set

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_v, V) \,=\, \left[V^{I_v} \xrightarrow{1-f_v} V^{I_v} \right],$$

where the terms are placed in degrees 0 and 1 (see [F3], [BF]). Observe that there is a natural quasi-isomorphism $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_v, V) \simeq C^{\bullet}_c(G_v/I_v, V^{I_v})$. In particular, $\mathbf{R}^0\Gamma(\mathbb{Q}_v, V) = H^0(\mathbb{Q}_v, V)$ and $\mathbf{R}^1\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_v, V) = H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}_v, V)$ where

$$H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}_v, V) = \ker(H^1(\mathbb{Q}_v, V) \to H^1(\mathbb{Q}_v^{\mathrm{ur}}, V)).$$

For $v = p$ the complex $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_v, V)$ was defined in section 2.1.2. To simplify notation write $H^i_S(V) = H^i(G_S, V)$. The Selmer group of V is defined by

$$H^1_f(V) \,=\, \ker \left(H^1_S(V) \to \bigoplus_{v \in S} \frac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_v, V)}{H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}_v, V)} \right).$$

3.1.2. Assume that V satisfies the following conditions:

C1) $H^1_f(V)=H^1_f(V^*(1))=0.$

C2) $H^0_S(V)=H^0_S(V^*(1))=0.$

C3) V is crystalline at p, $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)^{\varphi=1}=0$ and the linear map $1-p^{-1}\varphi^{-1}\,:\,\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)\to\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$ is semisimple.

C4) The (φ,Γ)-module $\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)$ has no crystalline subquotient of the form

$$0\to\mathcal{R}(\mid x\mid x^k)\to U\to\mathcal{R}\to 0,\qquad k\geqslant 1.$$

Write c for the complex conjugation and set $d_{\pm}(V)=\dim(V^{c=\pm 1})$. From the Poitou-Tate exact sequence it follows that $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}t_V(\mathbb{Q}_p)=d_+(V)$. We say that a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-subspace $D\subset\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$ is admissible if it is stable under φ and the natural projection $D\to t_V(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is an isomorphism.

3.1.3. Let D be an admissible subspace of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$. As $1-p^{-1}\varphi^{-1}$ acts semisimply, one has a decomposition $D\simeq D_{-1}\oplus D^{\varphi=p^{-1}}$ where $D_{-1}=(\varphi-p^{-1})\,D$ is stable under φ and $(D_{-1})^{\varphi=p^{-1}}=0$. Consider the filtration (D_i) on $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$ defined by

$$D_i=\begin{cases}0 & \text{if } i=-2,\\ D_{-1} & \text{if } i=-1,\\ D & \text{if } i=0,\\ \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) & \text{if } i=1.\end{cases}$$

By Berger's theory [Ber4] (D_i) induces a filtration on $\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)$:

$$0\subset F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)\subset F_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)\subset F_1\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)=\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V).$$

Explicitely $F_i\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)=\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)\cap\bigl(D_i\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathcal{R}\,[1/t]\bigr)$ ([BC], section 2.4.2). Set $\mathrm{gr}_i\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)=F_i\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)/F_{i-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)$. By [Ben2], Corollary 1.4.6 the exact sequence

$$0\to F_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)\to\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)\to\mathrm{gr}_1\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)\to 0$$

gives rise to exact sequences

$$\cdots\to H^0(\mathrm{gr}_1\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))\to H^1(F_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))\to H^1(\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))\to H^1(\mathrm{gr}_1\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))\to\cdots$$

and

$$\cdots\to H^0(\mathrm{gr}_1\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))\to H^1_f(F_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))\to H^1_f(\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))\to H^1_f(\mathrm{gr}_1\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))\to 0$$

The condition **C3)** implies that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathrm{gr}_1\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))^{\varphi=1}=0$. Since D is admissible, the Hodge-Tate weights of $\mathrm{gr}_1\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)$ are $\leqslant 0$ and by Proposition 1.4.4 of [Ben2] $H^0(\mathrm{gr}_1\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))=0$ and $H^1_f(\mathrm{gr}_1\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))=0$. This shows that $H^1(F_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))$ injects into $H^1(\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))\simeq H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)$ and that

$$H^1_f(F_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))\simeq H^1_f(\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))\simeq H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}_p,V).$$

Now, consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \to F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V) \to F_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V) \to \mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V) \to 0.$$

Since $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))^{\varphi=p^{-1}}=0$ and Hodge-Tate weights of $F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)$ are >0 we have $H^1_f(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))=H^1(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))$ by [Ben2], Proposition 1.4.4. As $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}((F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))^*(\chi))$ is dual to $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))$, the map $1-\varphi$ is bijective on $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}((F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))^*(\chi))$ and $H^0((F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))^*(\chi))=0$. Using the local duality [Li] we obtain that $H^2(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))=0$. Finally $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))^{\varphi=1}=0$ implies that $H^0(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))=0$. Thus we have exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned}&0 \to H^1(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) \to H^1(F_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) \to H^1(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) \to 0,\\ &0 \to H^1(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) \to H^1_f(F_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) \to H^1_f(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) \to 0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$H^1(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) \simeq \frac{H^1(F_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))}{H^1(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))} \hookrightarrow \frac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)}{H^1(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))}$$

and

$$H^1_f(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) \simeq \frac{H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)}{H^1(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))}.$$

As $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))^{\varphi=p^{-1}}=\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))$, Proposition 1.5.9 of [Ben2] implies that

$$\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V) \simeq \overset{e}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} D_{m_i}, \qquad e=\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(D^{\varphi=p^{-1}})$$

where $D_{m_i}=\mathcal{R}(|x|x^{m_i})$, $m_i \geqslant 1$. By Proposition 2.1.6 $H^1_f(D_m)$ is generated by α^*_m and we denote by $H^1_c(D_m)$ the subspace generated by β^*_m. This gives a decomposition

$$H^1(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) \simeq H^1_f(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) \oplus H^1_c(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)).$$

In particular, $H^1_f(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))$ and $H^1_c(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))$ are $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector spaces of dimension e. Further, fixing the basis α^*_m, β^*_m of $H^1(D_m)$ we fixe isomorphisms

$$i_{D,f}\,:\,\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1_f(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)), \qquad i_{D,c}\,:\,\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1_c(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)).$$

The condition **C1)** together with the Poitou-Tate exact sequence implies that

$$H^1_S(V) \simeq \bigoplus_{v\in S} \frac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_v,V)}{H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}_v,V)}.$$

Let $H^1_S(D,V)$ be the subspace of $H^1_S(V)$ whose image under this isomorphism is $H^1(F_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))/H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)$. The localization map $H^1_S(D,V) \to \dfrac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)}{H^1(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))}$ is injective and its image is contained in $H^1(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))$. Hence, we have a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) \arrow[r, "i_{D,f}", "\sim"'] & H^1_f(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) \\
H^1_S(D,V) \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\rho_{D,f}"] \arrow[d, "\rho_{D,c}"'] & H^1(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) \arrow[u, "p_{D,f}"'] \arrow[d, "p_{D,c}"] \\
\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) \arrow[r, "i_{D,c}", "\sim"'] & H^1_c(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\rho_{D,f}$ and $\rho_{D,c}$ are defined as the unique maps making this diagram commute. From the definition of $H^1_S(D,V)$ it follows that $\rho_{D,c}$ is an isomorphism.

Definition 3.1.4. *The determinant*

$$\mathcal{L}(V,D) = \det\left(\rho_{D,f}\circ\rho_{D,c}^{-1} \mid \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))\right)$$

will be called the $\mathcal{L}$-invariant associated to V and D.

3.2. The Bockstein homomorphism.

3.2.1. In this section we interpret $\mathcal{L}(D,V)$ in terms of the Bockstein homomorphism associated to the large exponential map. This interpretation is crucial for the proof of the main theorem of this paper. Recall that $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}V)=\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)\otimes_{\Lambda(\Gamma)}H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)$ injects into $\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)$. Set

$$F_iH^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}V)=F_i\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)\cap H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}V).$$

As in section 2.2 we fix a generator $\gamma\in\Gamma$.

Proposition 3.2.2. *Let D be an admissible subspace of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$. For any $a\in D^{\varphi=p^{-1}}$ let $\alpha\in\mathcal{D}(V)$ be such that $\alpha(0)=a$. Then*

i) There exists a unique $\beta\in F_0H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)\otimes V)$ such that

$$(\gamma-1)\,\beta=\mathrm{Exp}^{\varepsilon}_{V,h}(\alpha).$$

ii) The composition map

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{D,h}\ &:\ D^{\varphi=p^{-1}}\to F_0H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)\otimes V)\to H^1(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))\\
\delta_{D,h}(a)\ &=\ \beta\ (\mathrm{mod}\ H^1(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)))
\end{aligned}$$

is given explicitly by the following formula:

$$\delta_{D,h}(\alpha)\ =\ -(h-1)!\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1}(\log\chi(\gamma))^{-1}\,i_{D,c}(\alpha).$$

Proof. Since $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)^{\varphi=1}=0$, the operator $1-\varphi$ is invertible on $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$ and we have a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{D}(V)^{\Delta=0} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Exp}^{\varepsilon}_{V,h}"] \arrow[d, "\Xi^{\varepsilon}_{V,0}"] & H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)\otimes V) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{pr}_V"] \\
\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) \arrow[r, "(h-1)!\exp_V"] & H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,V).
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\Xi^{\varepsilon}_{V,0}(\alpha) = \dfrac{1-p^{-1}\varphi^{-1}}{1-\varphi}\,\alpha(0)$ (see (2.1)). If $\alpha \in D^{\varphi=p^{-1}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]^{\psi=0}$, then $\Xi^{\varepsilon}_{V,0}(\alpha) = 0$ and $\mathrm{pr}_V\left(\mathrm{Exp}^{\varepsilon}_{V,h}(\alpha)\right) = 0$. On the other hand, as $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)^{\varphi=1} = 0$, we have $V^{G_K} = 0$ and the map $\left(\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)\otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)\right)_{\Gamma} \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)$ is injective. Thus there exists a unique $\beta \in \mathcal{H}(\Gamma)\otimes_{\Lambda} H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_p,T)$ such that $\mathrm{Exp}^{\varepsilon}_{V,h}(\alpha) = (\gamma-1)\,\beta$. Now take $a \in D^{\varphi=p^{-1}}$ and set

$$f = a \otimes \ell\left(\frac{(1+X)^{\chi(\gamma)}-1}{X}\right),$$

where $\ell(g) = \dfrac{1}{p}\log\left(\dfrac{g^p}{\varphi(g)}\right)$. An easy computation shows that

$$\sum_{\zeta^p=1} \ell\left(\frac{\zeta^{\chi(\gamma)}(1+X)^{\chi(\gamma)}-1}{\zeta(1+X)-1}\right) = 0.$$

Thus $f \in D^{\varphi=p^{-1}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]^{\psi=0}$. Write α in the form $\alpha = (1-\varphi)\,(1-\gamma)\,(a\otimes \log(X))$. Then

$$\Omega_{V,h}(\alpha) = (-1)^{h-1}\frac{\log\chi(\gamma_1)}{p}\,t^h\partial^h((\gamma-1)\,(a\log(\pi)) = \frac{\log\chi(\gamma_1)}{p}\,(\gamma-1)\,\beta$$

where

$$\beta = (-1)^{h-1}t^h\partial^h(a\log(\pi)) = (-1)^{h-1}at^h\partial^{h-1}\left(\frac{1+\pi}{\pi}\right).$$

It implies immediately that $\beta \in F_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)$. On the other hand $D^{\varphi=p^{-1}} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))$. Write $\tilde{a}$ for the image of a in $\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)\,[1/t]$ and e_m for the canonical base of D_m. Since $\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V) \simeq \overset{e}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} D_{m_i}$, without lost of generality we may assume that $\tilde{a} = t^{-m_i}e_{m_i}$ for some i. Let $\tilde{\beta}$ be the image of β in $\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)^{\psi=1}$ and let $h^1_0 \,:\, \mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)^{\psi=1} \longrightarrow H^1(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))$ be the canonical map furnished by Proposition 1.1.7. Recall that $h^1_0(\tilde{\beta}) = \mathrm{cl}(c,\tilde{\beta})$ where $(1-\gamma)\,c = (1-\varphi)\,\tilde{\beta}$. Then $\tilde{\beta} = (-1)^{h-1}t^{h-m_i}\partial^h\log(\pi)$. By Lemma 1.5.1 of [CC1] there exists a unique $b_0 \in \mathbf{B}^{\dagger,\psi=0}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that $(\gamma-1)\,b_0 = \ell(X)$. This implies that

$$(1-\gamma)\,(t^{h-m_i}\partial^h b_0 e_{m_i}) = (1-\varphi)\,(t^{h-m_i}\partial^h\log(\pi)e_{m_i}) = (-1)^{h-1}(1-\varphi)\,\tilde{\beta}.$$

Thus $c = (-1)^{h-1}t^{h-m_i}\partial^h b_0 e_{m_i}$ and $\mathrm{res}(ct^{m_i-1}dt) = (-1)^{h-1}\mathrm{res}(t^{h-1}\partial^h b_0 dt)\,e_{m_i} = 0$. Next from the congruence $\tilde{\beta} \equiv (h-1)!\,t^{-m_i}e_{m_i} \pmod{\mathbb{Q}_p[[\pi]]\,e_{m_i}}$. it follows that $\mathrm{res}(\tilde{\beta}t^{m_i-1}dt) = (h-1)!\,e_{m_i}$. Therefore by [Ben2], Corollary 1.5.6 we have

$$\mathrm{cl}(c,\tilde{\beta}) = (h-1)!\,\mathrm{cl}(\beta_m) = (h-1)!\,\frac{p}{\log\chi(\gamma_1)}\,i_{\mathrm{gr}_0(\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)),c}(a).$$

On the other hand

$$\alpha(0) = a\otimes\ell\left(\frac{(1+X)^{\chi(\gamma)}-1}{X}\right)\Bigg|_{X=0} = a\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\log(\chi(\gamma)).$$

Theses formulas imply that

$$\delta_{D,h}(\alpha)=(h-1)!\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1}(\log\chi(\gamma))^{-1}\,i_{\mathrm{gr}_0(\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)),c}(\alpha).$$

and the proposition is proved.

3.2.3. Define

$$H^1_{f,\{p\}}(V)=\ker\left(H^1_S(V)\to\bigoplus_{v\in S-\{\infty\}}\frac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_v,V)}{H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}_v,V)}\right).$$

From the definition of $H^1_S(D,V)$ we immediately obtain isomorphisms

$$\frac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)}{H^1_{f,\{p\}}(V)+H^1(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))}\simeq\frac{H^1(F_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))}{H^1_S(D,V)+H^1(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))}\simeq\frac{H^1(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))}{H^1_S(D,V)}.$$

Thus, the map $\delta_{D,h}$ constructed in Proposition 3.3.2 induces a map

$$D^{\varphi=p^{-1}}\to\frac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)}{H^1_{f,\{p\}}(V)+H^1(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))}$$

which we will denote again by $\delta_{D,h}$. On the other hand, we have isomorphisms

$$D^{\varphi=p^{-1}}\overset{\exp_V}{\overset{\sim}{\to}}\frac{H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)}{\exp_{V,\mathbb{Q}_p}(D_{-1})}\simeq\frac{H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)}{H^1(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))}\simeq\frac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)}{H^1_{f,\{p\}}(V)+H^1(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))}.$$

Proposition 3.2.4. *Let $\lambda_D : D^{\varphi=p^{-1}}\to D^{\varphi=p^{-1}}$ denote the homomorphism making the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D^{\varphi=p^{-1}} \arrow[rr, "\lambda_D"] \arrow[dr, "\delta_{D,h}"] & & D^{\varphi=p^{-1}} \arrow[dl, "(h-1)!\exp_V"'] \\
& \dfrac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)}{H^1_{f,\{p\}}(V)+H^1(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

commute. Then

$$\det\left(\lambda_D\mid D^{\varphi=p^{-1}}\right)=(\log\chi(\gamma))^{-e}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{-e}\mathcal{L}(D,V).$$

Proof. The proposition follows from Proposition 2.1.6, Proposition 3.2.2 and the following elementary fact. Let $U=U_1\oplus U_2$ be the decomposition of a vector space U of dimension $2e$ into the direct sum of two subspaces of dimension e. Let $W\subset U$ be a subspace of dimension e such that $W\cap U_1=\{0\}$. Consider the diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
W \arrow[r, "p_1"] \arrow[d, "p_2"'] & U_1 \\
U_2 \arrow[ur, "f"'] &
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
U/W & U_1 \arrow[l, "i_1"'] \\
U_2 \arrow[u, "i_2"] \arrow[ur, "g"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where p_k and i_k are induced by natural projections and inclusions. Then $f=-g$. Applying this remark to $U=H^1(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))$, $W=H^1_S(D,V)$, $U_1=H^1_f(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))$, $U_2=H^1_c(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))$ and taking determinants we obtain the proposition.

§4. Special values of p-adic L-functions

4.1. The Bloch-Kato conjecture (see [F3], [FP],[BF]).

4.1.1. Let V be a p-adic pseudo-geometric representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$. Thus V is a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector space equipped with a continuous action of the Galois group G_S for a suitable finite set of places S containing p. Write $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_S(V) = C_c^\bullet(G_S, V)$ and define

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{S,c}(V) = \mathrm{cone}\left(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_S(V) \to \underset{v\in S\cup\{\infty\}}{\oplus} \mathbf{R}\Gamma(\mathbb{Q}_v, V)\right)[-1].$$

Fix a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-lattice T of V stable under the action of G_S and set $\Delta_S(V) = \det_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{-1}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{S,c}(V)$ and $\Delta_S(T) = \det_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{-1}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{S,c}(T)$. Then $\Delta_S(T)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-lattice of the one-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector space $\Delta_S(V)$ which does not depend on the choice of T. Therefore it defines a p-adic norm on $\Delta_S(V)$ which we denote by $\|\cdot\|_S$. Moreother, $(\Delta_S(V), \|\cdot\|_S)$ does not depend on the choice of S. More precisely, if Σ is a finite set of places which contains S, then there exists a natural isomorphism $\Delta_S(V) \to \Delta_\Sigma(V)$ such that $\|\cdot\|_\Sigma = \|\cdot\|_S$. It allows to define the Euler-Poincaré line $\Delta_{\mathrm{EP}}(V)$ as $(\Delta_S(V), \|\cdot\|_S)$ where S is sufficiently large. Recall that for any finite place $v \in S$ we defined

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_v, V) = \begin{cases} \left[V^{I_v} \xrightarrow{1-f_v} V^{I_v}\right] & \text{if } v \neq p \\ \left[\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{pr}, 1-\varphi)} t_V(\mathbb{Q}_p) \oplus \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)\right] & \text{if } v = p. \end{cases}$$

At $v = \infty$ we set $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{R}, V) = [V^+ \to 0]$, where the first term is placed in degree 0. Thus $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{R}, V) \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathbf{R}\Gamma(\mathbb{R}, V)$. For any v we have a canonical morphism $\mathrm{loc}_p : \mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_v, V) \to \mathbf{R}\Gamma(\mathbb{Q}_v, V)$ which can be viewed as a local condition in the sense of [N2]. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{R}\Gamma_S(V) \arrow[r] & \underset{v\in S\cup\{\infty\}}{\oplus} \mathbf{R}\Gamma(\mathbb{Q}_v, V) \\
 & \underset{v\in S\cup\{\infty\}}{\oplus} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_v, V) \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

and define

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(V) = \mathrm{cone}\left(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_S(V) \oplus \left(\underset{v\in S\cup\{\infty\}}{\oplus} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_v, V)\right) \to \underset{v\in S\cup\{\infty\}}{\oplus} \mathbf{R}\Gamma(\mathbb{Q}_v, V)\right)[-1].$$

Thus, we have a distinguished triangle

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(V) \to \mathbf{R}\Gamma_S(V) \oplus \left(\underset{v\in S\cup\{\infty\}}{\oplus} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_v, V)\right) \to \underset{v\in S\cup\{\infty\}}{\oplus} \mathbf{R}\Gamma(\mathbb{Q}_v, V). \tag{4.1}$$

Set

$$\Delta_f(V) = \det_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{-1}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(V) \otimes \det_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{-1} t_V(\mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes \det_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V^+.$$

It is easy to see that $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(V)$ and $\Delta_f(V)$ do not depend on the choice of S. Consider the distinguished triangle

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{S,c}(V) \to \mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(V) \to \underset{v\in S\cup\{\infty\}}{\oplus} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_v, V).$$

Since $\det_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)\simeq \det_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{-1}t_V(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $\det_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{R},V)=\det_{\mathbb{Q}_p}V^+$ tautologically, we obtain canonical isomorphisms

$$\Delta_f(V)\simeq \det_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{-1}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{S,c}(V)\simeq \Delta_{\mathrm{EP}}(V).$$

The cohomology of $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(V)$ is as follow:

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{R}^0\Gamma_f(V)=H^0_S(V),\quad \mathbf{R}^1\Gamma_f(V)=H^1_f(V),\quad \mathbf{R}^2\Gamma_f(V)\simeq H^1_f(V^*(1))^*,\\ &\mathbf{R}^3\Gamma_f(V)=\mathrm{coker}\left(H^2_S(V)\to \underset{v\in S}{\oplus}H^2(\mathbb{Q}_v,V)\right)\simeq H^0_S(V^*(1))^*.\end{aligned}\tag{4.2}$$

These groups seat in the following exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned}0\to \mathbf{R}^1\Gamma(V)\to H^1_S(V)\to \bigoplus_{v\in S}\frac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_v,V)}{H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}_v,V)}\to \mathbf{R}^2\Gamma_f(V)\to&\\ H^2_S(V)\to \underset{v\in S}{\oplus}H^2(\mathbb{Q}_v,V)\to \mathbf{R}^3\Gamma_f(V)\to 0.&\end{aligned}$$

The L-function of V is defined as the Euler product

$$L(V,s)=\prod_v E_v(V,(Nv)^{-s})^{-1}$$

where

$$E_v(V,t)=\begin{cases}\det\left(1-f_vt\mid V^{I_v}\right), & \text{if } v\neq p\\ \det\left(1-\varphi t\mid \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)\right) & \text{if } v=p.\end{cases}$$

4.1.2. In this paper we treat motives in the formal sense and assume all conjectures about the category of mixed motives $\mathcal{MM}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ which are necessary to state the Bloch-Kato conjecture (see [F3], [FP]). If M is a pure motive over $\mathbb{Q}$ we denote by M_v its v-adic realizations. Assume that the groups $H^i(M)=\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{MM}}(\mathbb{Q}(0),M)$ are well defined and vanish for $i\neq 0,1$. It should be possible to define a $\mathbb{Q}$-subspace $H^1_f(M)$ of $H^1(M)$ consisting of "integral" classes of extensions which is expected to be finite dimensional. It is convenient to set $H^0_f(M)=H^0(M)$. Then we assume that for any finite place v the regulator map induces isomorphisms

$$H^i_f(M)\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{Q}_p\simeq H^i_f(M_v),\qquad i=0,1.\tag{4.3}$$

Let M be a motive satisfying the following condition

M) $H^i_f(M)=H^i_f(M^*(1))$ for $i=0,1$.

Let M_{dR} and M_{B} denote the de Rham and the Betti realizations of M respectively and let $t_M(\mathbb{Q})=M_{\mathrm{dR}}/\mathrm{Fil}^0M_{\mathrm{dR}}$ be the tangent space of M. The complex conjugation c acts on M_{B} and $M_{\mathrm{B}}=M_{\mathrm{B}}^+\oplus M_{\mathrm{B}}^-$. The comparision isomorphism $M_{\mathrm{B}}\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{R}\simeq M_{\mathrm{dR}}\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{R}$ induces a map

$$M_{\mathrm{B}}^+\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{R}\to t_M(\mathbb{R})$$

which is expected to be an isomorphism. Assuming this, we can define a natural injective map

$$\Omega_\infty\,:\,\det_{\mathbb{Q}}^{-1}t_M(\mathbb{Q})\otimes\det_{\mathbb{Q}}M_{\mathrm{B}}^+\to\mathbb{R}.$$

Fix $\omega_t\in\det_{\mathbb{Q}}t_M(\mathbb{Q})$ and $\omega_{\mathrm{B}}\in\det_{\mathbb{Q}}M_{\mathrm{B}}^+$ and set $\Omega_\infty(\omega_t,\omega_{\mathrm{B}})=\Omega_\infty(\omega_t^{-1}\otimes\omega_{\mathrm{B}})$.
It is conjectured that the L-function $L(M_v,s)$ does not depend of v. It will be denoted by $L(M,s)$.

Conjecture (Deligne). *Let M be a motive satisfying* **M)**. *Then*

$$\frac{L(M,0)}{\Omega_\infty(\omega_t,\omega_{\mathrm{B}})}\in\mathbb{Q}^*.$$

4.1.3. Let p be a prime number and let M_p denote the p-adic realization of M. From **M)** and (4.3) it follows that $H^0(M_p)=H^0(M_p^*(1))=0$ and $H^1_f(M_p)=H^1_f(M_p(1))=0$. Hence $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(M_p)$ is acyclic. Fix ω_t and ω_{B} and define a map

$$i_{\omega_t,\omega_{\mathrm{B}},p}\;:\;\Delta_{\mathrm{EP}}(M_p)\xrightarrow{\sim}\det{}^{-1}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}t_M(\mathbb{Q}_p)\otimes\det{}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}M_{\mathrm{B}}^+\longrightarrow\mathbb{Q}_p$$

by $x=i_{\omega_t,\omega_{\mathrm{B}},p}(x)\,(\omega_t^{-1}\otimes\omega_{\mathrm{B}})$. The Bloch-Kato conjecture states as follow:

Conjecture (Bloch-Kato). *Let T_p be a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-lattice of M_p stable under the action of G_S. Then*

$$i_{\omega_t,\omega_B,p}(\Delta_{\mathrm{EP}}(T_p))\;=\;\frac{L(M,0)}{\Omega_\infty(\omega_t,\omega_{\mathrm{B}})}\,\mathbb{Z}_p.$$

4.2. The complex $\mathbf{R}\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V)$.

4.2.1. Let Γ denote the Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}$ and $\Gamma_n=\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^n}))$. Set $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma_1]]$ and $\Lambda(\Gamma)=\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\Lambda$. For any character $\eta\in X(\Delta)$ put

$$e_\eta\;=\;\frac{1}{|\Delta|}\sum_{g\in\Delta}\eta^{-1}(g)g.$$

Then $\Lambda(\Gamma)=\underset{\eta\in X(\Delta)}{\oplus}\Lambda(\Gamma)^{(\eta)}$ where $\Lambda(\Gamma)^{(\eta)}=\Lambda e_\eta$ and for any $\Lambda(\Gamma)$-module M one has a canonical decomposition

$$M\simeq\oplus_{\eta\in X(\Delta)}M^{(\eta)},\qquad M^{(\eta)}=e_\eta(M).$$

We write η_0 for the trivial character of Δ and identify Λ with $\Lambda(\Gamma)e_{\eta_0}$.

Let V be a p-adic pseudo-geometric representation unramified outside S. Set $d(V)=\dim(V)$ and $d_\pm(V)=\dim(V^{c=\pm1})$. Fix a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-lattice T of V stable under the action of G_S. Let $\iota\,:\,\Lambda(\Gamma)\longrightarrow\Lambda(\Gamma)$ denote the canonical involution $g\mapsto g^{-1}$. Recall that the induced module $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}}(T)$ is isomorphic to $(\Lambda(\Gamma)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}T)^\iota$ ([N2], section 8.1). Define

$$\begin{aligned}H^i_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(T)\;&=\;H^i_S((\Lambda(\Gamma)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}T)^\iota),\\ H^i_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_v,T)\;&=\;H^i(\mathbb{Q}_v,(\Lambda(\Gamma)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}T)^\iota)\qquad\text{for any finite place } v.\end{aligned}$$

From Shapiro's lemma it follows immediately that

$$H^i_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(T)\;=\;\varprojlim_{\mathrm{cores}}H^i_S(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^n}),T),\qquad H^i_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_p,T)\;=\;\varprojlim_{\mathrm{cores}}H^i(\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^n}),T).$$

Set $H^i_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(V)=H^i_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(T)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $H^i_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_v,V)=H^i_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_v,T)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathbb{Q}_p$. In [PR2] Perrin-Riou proved the following results about the structure of these modules.

i) $H^i_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(V)=0$ and $H^i_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_v,T)=0$ if $i\neq 1,2$;

ii) If $v\neq p$, then for each $\eta\in X(\Delta)$ the η-component $H^i_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_v,T)^{(\eta)}$ is a finitely generated torsion Λ-module. In particular, $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_v,T)\simeq H^1(\mathbb{Q}_v^{\mathrm{ur}}/\mathbb{Q}_v,(\Lambda(\Gamma)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}T^{I_v})^\iota)$.

iii) If $v=p$ then $H^2_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_p,T)^{(\eta)}$ are finitely generated torsion Λ-modules. Moreover, for each $\eta\in X(\Delta)$

$$\mathrm{rg}_\Lambda\left(H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_p,T)^{(\eta)}\right)\,=\,d,\qquad H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_p,T)^{(\eta)}_{\mathrm{tor}}\simeq H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^\infty})\,,T)^{(\eta)}.$$

Remark that by local duality $H^2_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_p,T)\simeq H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^\infty}),V^*(1)/T^*(1))$.

iv) If the weak Leopoldt conjecture holds for the pair (V,η) i.e. if $H^2_S(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^\infty}),V/T)^{(\eta)}=0$ then $H^2_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(T)^{(\eta)}$ is Λ-torsion and

$$\mathrm{rank}_\Lambda\left(H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(T)^{(\eta)}\right)\,=\,\begin{cases} d_-(V), & \text{if } \eta(c)=1\\ d_+(V), & \text{if } \eta(c)=-1.\end{cases}$$

Passing to the projective limit in the Poitou-Tate exact sequence one obtains an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}0\to H^2_S(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^\infty}),V^*(1)/T^*(1))^\wedge\to H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(T)\to \underset{v\in S}{\oplus}H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_v,T)\to H^1_S(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^\infty}),V^*(1)/T^*(1))^\wedge\\ \to H^2_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(T)\to \underset{v\in S}{\oplus}H^2_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_v,T)\to H^0_S(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^\infty}),V^*(1)/T^*(1))^\wedge\to 0.\end{aligned}\tag{4.4}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(T)\,&=\,C^\bullet_c(G_S,(\Lambda(\Gamma)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}T)^\iota),\\ \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_v,T)\,&=\,C^\bullet_c(G_v,(\Lambda(\Gamma)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}T)^\iota),\\ \mathbf{R}\Gamma_S(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^\infty}),V^*(1)/T^*(1))\,&=\,C^\bullet_c(G_S,\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\Lambda(\Gamma),V^*(1)/T^*(1))).\end{aligned}$$

Then the sequence (4.3) is induced by the distinguished triangle

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(T)\to\underset{v\in S}{\oplus}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_v,T)\to(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_S(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^\infty}),V^*(1)/T^*(1))^\iota)^\wedge\,[-2]$$

([N2], Theorem 8.5.6). Finally, we have usual descent formulas

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(T)\otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_p\simeq\mathbf{R}\Gamma_S(T),\qquad \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_v,T)\otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_\Lambda\mathbb{Z}_p\simeq\mathbf{R}\Gamma(\mathbb{Q}_v,T)$$

([N2], Proposition 8.4.21).

4.2.2. For the remainder of this chapter we assume that V satisfies the conditions **C1-5)** of section 3.1.2 where **C2)** is replaced by the following stronger condition

C2*) $H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)=H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p,V^*(1))=0$.

Remark that **C1)** and **C2*)** guarantee that the weak Leopoldt conjecture holds for (V,η_0) and $(V^*(1),\eta_0)$ (Proposition B.5 of [PR2]). To simplify notations we write $\mathcal{H}$ for $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma_1)$. In this subsection we interpret Perrin-Riou's construction of the module of p-adic L-functions in terms of [N2]. Fix an admissible subspace D of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$ and a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-lattice N of D. Set $\mathcal{D}_p(N,T)^{(\eta_0)} = N\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\Lambda$, $\mathbf{R}\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},f}(\mathbb{Q}_p,N,T) = \mathcal{D}_p(N,T)^{(\eta_0)}[-1]$ and $\mathbf{R}\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},f}(\mathbb{Q}_p,D,V) = \mathbf{R}\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},f}(\mathbb{Q}_p,N,T)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathbb{Q}_p$. Consider the map

$$\mathbf{Exp}^{\varepsilon}_{V,h}\,:\, \mathbf{R}\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},f}(\mathbb{Q}_p,T)\otimes_{\mathit{\Lambda}}\mathcal{H} \to \mathbf{R}\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_p,T)\otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_{\Lambda}\mathcal{H}$$

which will be viewed as a local condition at p. If $v\neq p$ the inertia group I_v acts trivially on Λ set

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},f}(\mathbb{Q}_v,N,T) \;=\; \left[T^{I_v}\otimes\Lambda^{\iota}\xrightarrow{1-f_v}T^{I_v}\otimes\Lambda^{\iota}\right]$$

where the first term is placed in degree 0. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{R}\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(T)\otimes_{\Lambda}\mathcal{H} \arrow[r] & \underset{v\in S}{\oplus}\mathbf{R}\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_v,T)\otimes_{\Lambda}\mathcal{H} \\
& \underset{v\in S}{\oplus}\mathbf{R}\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},f}(\mathbb{Q}_v,N,T)\otimes_{\Lambda}\mathcal{H} \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}\tag{4.5}$$

Consider the associated Selmer complex

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{R}\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V) \;=\\
&\qquad\mathrm{cone}\left[\left(\mathbf{R}\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(T)\oplus\left(\underset{v\in S}{\oplus}\mathbf{R}\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},f}(\mathbb{Q}_v,N,T)\right)\right)\otimes_{\Lambda}\mathcal{H}\to \underset{v\in S}{\oplus}\mathbf{R}\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_v,T)\otimes_{\Lambda}\mathcal{H}\right][-1]
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that it does not depend on the choice of S. Our main result about this complex is the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2.3. *Assume that V satisfies the conditions C1-5). Let D be an admissible subspace of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$. Assume that $\mathcal{L}(V,D)\neq 0$. Then*

i) $\mathbf{R}^i\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V)$ are $\mathcal{H}$-torsion modules for all i.

ii) $\mathbf{R}^i\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V)=0$ for $i\neq 2,3$ and

$$\mathbf{R}^3\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V)\simeq\left(H^0(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^\infty}),V^*(1))^*\right)^{(\eta_0)}\otimes_{\Lambda}\mathcal{H}.$$

iii) The complex $\mathbf{R}\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V)$ is semisimple i.e. for each i the natural map

$$\mathbf{R}^i\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V)^{\Gamma}\to\mathbf{R}^i\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V)_{\Gamma}$$

is an isomorphism.

4.2.4. Proof of Proposition 4.2.3. We leave the proof of the following lemma as an easy exercise.

Lemma 4.2.4.1. *Let A and B be two submodules of a finitely generated free $\mathcal{H}$-module M. Assume that the natural maps $A_{\Gamma_1}\to M_{\Gamma_1}$ and $B_{\Gamma_1}\to M_{\Gamma_1}$ are both injective. Then $A_{\Gamma_1}\cap B_{\Gamma_1}=\{0\}$ implies that $A\cap B=\{0\}$.*

4.2.4.2. Since $H^0_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(V)$ and $H^0_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_v,V)$ are zero, we have $\mathbf{R}^0\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V)=0$. Next, by definition $\mathbf{R}^1\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V)^{(\eta_0)}\,=\,\ker(f)$ where

$$f\,:\,\left(H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(T)^{(\eta_0)}\oplus\mathcal{D}_p(N,T)^{(\eta_0)}\underset{v\in S-\{p\}}{\oplus}H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},f}(\mathbb{Q}_v,T)^{(\eta_0)}\right)\otimes\mathcal{H}\to\underset{v\in S}{\oplus}H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_v,T)^{(\eta_0)}\otimes\mathcal{H}$$

is the map induced by (4.5). If $v\in S-\{p\}$ one has

$$H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},f}(\mathbb{Q}_v,T)^{(\eta_0)}\,=\,H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_v,T)^{(\eta_0)}=H^1(\mathbb{Q}_v^{\mathrm{ur}}/\mathbb{Q}_v,(\Lambda\otimes T^{I_v})^{\iota}).$$

Thus

$$\mathbf{R}^1\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V)\,=\,\left(H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(T)^{(\eta_0)}\otimes_{\Lambda}\mathcal{H}\right)\cap\left(\mathrm{Exp}^{\varepsilon}_{V,h}\left(\mathcal{D}_p(D,T)^{(\eta_0)}\right)\otimes_{\Lambda}\mathcal{H}\right)$$

in $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_p,T)^{(\eta_0)}\otimes_{\Lambda}\mathcal{H}$. Put

$$A=\mathrm{Exp}^{\varepsilon}_{V,h}(D_{-1}\otimes\mathcal{H})\oplus X^{-1}\mathrm{Exp}^{\varepsilon}_{V,h}(D^{\varphi=p^{-1}}\otimes\mathcal{H})\subset H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_p,T)^{(\eta_0)}\otimes_{\Lambda}\mathcal{H}.$$

By Theorem 2.2.4 and Proposition 3.2.2 A_{Γ_1} injects into $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)$. The $\mathcal{H}$-module $M=\left(\dfrac{H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_p,T)}{T^{H_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}}\right)^{(\eta_0)}\otimes_{\Lambda}\mathcal{H}$ is free and $A\hookrightarrow M$. Since $T^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}=0$ by **C2*)**, one has $M_{\Gamma_1}=H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)_{\Gamma}\subset H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)$ and we obtain that A_{Γ_1} injects into M_{Γ_1}.

Set $B=\left(\dfrac{H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(T)}{T^{H_{\mathbb{Q}}}}\right)^{(\eta_0)}\otimes_{\Lambda}\mathcal{H}$. The weak Leopoldt conjecture for $(V^*(1),\eta_0)$ together with the fact that $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_v,T)$ are Λ-torsion for $v\in S-\{p\}$ imply that $B\hookrightarrow M$. Since the image of $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_v,V)_{\Gamma}$ in $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_v,V)$ is contained in $H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}_v,V)$, the image of $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(V)_{\Gamma}$ in $H^1_S(V)$ is in fact contained in

$$H^1_{f,\{p\}}(V)\,=\,\ker\left(H^1_S(V)\to\bigoplus_{v\in S-\{p\}}\frac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_v,V)}{H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}_v,V)}\right).$$

Because $H^1_f(V)=0$, the group $H^1_{f,\{p\}}(V)$ injects into $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)$ and we have

$$H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(V)^{(\eta_0)}_{\Gamma_1}=H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(V)_{\Gamma}\hookrightarrow H^1_{f,\{p\}}(V)\hookrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,V).$$

Thus $B_{\Gamma_1}\subset M_{\Gamma_1}$. We shall prove that $\mathbf{R}^1\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw},h^{(\eta_0)}}(D,V)=0$. By Lemma 4.2.4.1 it suffices to show that $A_{\Gamma_1}\cap B_{\Gamma_1}=\{0\}$. Now we claim that $A_{\Gamma_1}\cap H^1_{f,\{p\}}(V)=\{0\}$. First remark that

$$H^1_{f,\{p\}}(V)\hookrightarrow\frac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)}{H^1(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))}.$$

On the other hand, from Theorem 2.2.4 it follows that

$$\mathrm{Exp}^{\varepsilon}_{V,h}(D_{-1}\otimes\mathcal{H})_{\Gamma_1}=\exp_{V,\mathbb{Q}_p}(D_{-1})\subset H^1(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)).$$

Therefore, Proposition 3.2.2 implies that the image of A_{Γ_1} in $\dfrac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)}{H^1(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))}$ coincides with $H^1_c(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))$. But $\mathcal{L}(D,V)\neq 0$ if and only if $H^1_S(D,V)\cap H^1_c(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))=0$ where $H^1_S(D,V)$

denotes the inverse image of $H^1(\mathrm{gr}_0\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))$ in $H^1_{f,\{p\}}(V)$. This proves the claim and implies that $\mathbf{R}^1\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V)=0$.

4.2.4.3. We shall show that $\mathbf{R}^2\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V)$ is $\mathcal{H}$-torsion. By definition, we have an exact sequence

$$0\to \mathrm{coker}(f)\to \mathbf{R}^2\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V)\to \text{Ш}^2_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(V)^{(\eta_0)}\otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}\mathcal{H}\to 0, \tag{4.6}$$

where

$$\text{Ш}^2_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(V)\,=\,\ker\left(H^2_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(V)\to \underset{v\in S}{\oplus}H^2_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_v,V)\right).$$

It follows from the weak Leopoldt conjecture that $\text{Ш}^2_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(V)$ is $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$-torsion. On the other hand, as $\mathcal{H}$ is a Bezout ring [La], the formulas

$$\mathrm{rank}_\Lambda H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(T)^{(\eta_0)}=d_-(V),\quad \mathrm{rank}_\Lambda H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_p,T)^{(\eta_0)}=d(V),\quad \mathrm{rank}_\Lambda\mathcal{D}_p(N,T)=d_+(V)$$

together with the fact that $\mathbf{R}^1\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V)=0$ imply that $\mathrm{coker}(f)$ is $\mathcal{H}$-torsion. We have therefore proved that $\mathbf{R}^2\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V)$ is $\mathcal{H}$-torsion. Finally, the Poitou-Tate exact sequence gives that

$$\mathbf{R}^3\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V)=\left(H^0(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^\infty}),V^*(1))^*\right)^{(\eta_0)}\otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}\mathcal{H}$$

is also $\mathcal{H}$-torsion. The proposition is proved.

4.2.4.4. Now we prove the semisimplicity of $\mathbf{R}\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V)$. First, remark that **C2*)** implies that $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)^\Gamma=0$ and $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)_\Gamma=H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)$. Next, $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(V)^{(\eta_0)}\simeq\Lambda^{d_-(V)}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\oplus H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(V)^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{tor}}$. Since $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(V)_{\mathrm{tor}}\subset V^{H_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$, we have $(H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(V)_{\mathrm{tor}})_\Gamma=0$ by the snake lemma. Thus $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(V)^{(\eta_0)}_{\Gamma_1}=d_-(V)$. On the other hand $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}H^1_{f,\{p\}}(V)=\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)-\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}t_V=d_-(V)$. Since $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(V)^{(\eta_0)}_{\Gamma_1}$ injects into $H^1_{f,\{p\}}(V)$ this proves that $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(V)^{(\eta_0)}_{\Gamma_1}=H^1_{f,\{p\}}(V)$. Consider the exact sequence

$$0\to\left(H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(T)^{(\eta_0)}\oplus\mathcal{D}_p(N,T)^{(\eta_0)}\right)\otimes\mathcal{H}\to H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_p,T)^{(\eta_0)}\otimes\mathcal{H}\to\mathrm{coker}(f)\to 0.$$

Recall that $\mathrm{Exp}^\varepsilon_{V,h,0}\,:\,D\to H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)_\Gamma$ denotes the homomorphism induced by the large exponential map. Applying the snake lemma, and taking into account that $\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{Exp}^\varepsilon_{V,h,0})=\exp_{V,\mathbb{Q}_p}(D_{-1})=H^1(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))$ and $\ker(\mathrm{Exp}^\varepsilon_{V,h,0})=D^{\varphi=p^{-1}}$ (see for example [BB], Propositions 4.17 and 4.18 or the proof of Proposition 3.3.2) we obtain

$$\mathrm{coker}(f)^{\Gamma_1}=\ker\left(H^1_{f,\{p\}}(V)\oplus D\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Exp}^\varepsilon_{V,h,0}}H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)\right)=D^{\varphi=p^{-1}},$$

$$\mathrm{coker}(f)_{\Gamma_1}=\frac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)}{H^1_{f,\{p\}}(V)+H^1(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))}.$$

Thus on has a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{coker}(f)^{\Gamma_1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & D^{\varphi=p^{-1}} \arrow[d, "\delta_{D,h}"] \\
\mathrm{coker}(f)_{\Gamma_1} \arrow[r] & \dfrac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)}{H^1_{f,\{p\}}(V)+H^1(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

where horizontal arrows are isomorphisms and the left vertical arrow is the natural projection. From Proposition 3.2.4 it follows that $\mathrm{coker}(f)^{\Gamma_1} \to \mathrm{coker}(f)_{\Gamma_1}$ is an isomorphism if and only if $\mathcal{L}(D,V)\neq 0$.
On the other hand, the arguments [PR2], section 3.3.4 show that $\text{Ш}^2_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(V)_\Gamma = \text{Ш}^2_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(V)^\Gamma = 0$. Remark that Perrin-Riou assumes that $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)^{\varphi=1}=\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)^{\varphi=p^{-1}}=0$, but her proof works in our case without modifications and we repeat it for the commodity of the reader. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\underset{v\in S}{\oplus} H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_v,V)_\Gamma \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \left(H^1_S(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^\infty}),V^*(1))^*\right)_\Gamma \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \text{Ш}^2_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(V)_\Gamma \arrow[r] & 0 \\
\underset{v\in S-\{p\}}{\oplus} H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}_v,V)\oplus H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,V) \arrow[r] & H^1_S(V^*(1))^* \arrow[r] & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The top row of this diagram is obtained by taking coinvariants in the Poitou-Tate exact sequence. Thus it is exact. The bottom row is obtained from the exact sequence

$$0\to H^1_S(V^*(1))\to H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,V^*(1))\oplus \bigoplus_{v\in S-\{p\}} \frac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_v,V^*(1))}{H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}_v,V^*(1))}$$

by taking duals. Thus, it is an exact sequence too. Since $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)_\Gamma = H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)$ and $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_v,V)_\Gamma = H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}_v,V)$ the left vertical map is an isomorphism. The right vertical map seats in the exact sequence

$$0\to \left(H^1_S(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^\infty}),V^*(1))^*\right)_\Gamma \to H^1_S(V^*(1))^*\to \left(H^0_S(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^\infty}),V)^*\right)^\Gamma\to 0$$

(see [PR2], formula (1.4)). The isomorphism $H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^\infty}),V)\simeq \underset{k\in\mathbb{Z}}{\oplus} V(-k)^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}(k)$ together with the fact that $V^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}=0$ implies that $H^0_S(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^\infty}),V)=0$ and the right vertical arrow of the diagram is an isomorphism too. This proves that $\text{Ш}^2_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(V)_\Gamma=0$. Finally, from $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\text{Ш}^2_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(V)^\Gamma\leqslant \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\text{Ш}^2_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(V)_\Gamma$ it follows that $\text{Ш}^2_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(V)^\Gamma=0$. Therefore, applying the snake lemma to (4.6) we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{coker}(f)^\Gamma \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbf{R}^2\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V)^\Gamma \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{coker}(f)_\Gamma \arrow[r] & \mathbf{R}^2\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V)_\Gamma,
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which the horizontal arrows are isomorphisms and the vertical arrows are natural projections. This proves that $\mathbf{R}\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V)$ is semisimple in degree 2. Remark that the semisimplicity in degree 3 is obvious because by ii) $\mathbf{R}^3\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V)^\Gamma=\mathbf{R}^3\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V)_\Gamma=0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.2.3.

Corollary 4.2.5. *The exponential map induces an isomorphism of* $D^{\varphi=p^{-1}}$ *onto* $\mathrm{coker}(f)_\Gamma\simeq \mathbf{R}^2\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V)_\Gamma$ *and the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D^{\varphi=p^{-1}} \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, "\lambda_D"] & \mathbf{R}^2\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V)^\Gamma \arrow[d] \\
D^{\varphi=p^{-1}} \arrow[r, "(h-1)!\exp_V"] & \mathbf{R}^2\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V)_\Gamma
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which the map λ_D is defined in Proposition 3.2.4, commutes.

4.3. The module of p-adic L-functions.

4.3.1. We conserve the notation and conventions of section 4.2. Let D be an admissible subspace of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$ and assume that $\mathcal{L}(V,D)\neq 0$. We review the definition of the module of p-adic L-functions using the formalism of Selmer complexes. Set

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V) \,=\, \det\nolimits^{-1}_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}\left(\mathbf{R}\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(V)\oplus\left(\underset{v\in S}{\oplus}\mathbf{R}\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},f}(\mathbb{Q}_v,D,V)\right)\right)\otimes\det\nolimits_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}\left(\underset{v\in S}{\oplus}\mathbf{R}\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_v,V)\right).$$

The exact triangle

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(D,V)\to\left(\mathbf{R}\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(V)\oplus\left(\underset{v\in S}{\oplus}\mathbf{R}\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},f}(\mathbb{Q}_v,D,V)\right)\right)\otimes\mathcal{H}\to\left(\underset{v\in S}{\oplus}\mathbf{R}\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_v,V)\right)\otimes\mathcal{H}$$

gives an isomorphism $\Delta_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V)\otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}\mathcal{H}\,\simeq\,\det^{-1}_{\mathcal{H}}\mathbf{R}\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(D,V)$. Let $\mathcal{K}$ denote the field of fractions of $\mathcal{H}$. By Theorem 4.2.3, all $\mathbf{R}^i\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(D,V)$ are $\mathcal{H}$-torsion and we have a canonical map.

$$\det\nolimits^{-1}_{\mathcal{H}}\mathbf{R}\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(D,V)\simeq\underset{i\in\{2,3\}}{\otimes}\det\nolimits^{(-1)^{i+1}}_{\mathcal{H}}\mathbf{R}^i\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(D,V)\hookrightarrow\mathcal{K}.$$

The composition of these maps gives a trivialization $i_{V,\mathrm{Iw},h}\;:\;\Delta_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V)\longrightarrow\mathcal{K}$. Fix a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-lattice N of D and set

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(N,T)\,=\,\det\nolimits^{-1}_{\Lambda}\left(\mathbf{R}\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(T)\oplus\left(\underset{v\in S}{\oplus}\mathbf{R}\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},f}(\mathbb{Q}_v,N,T)\right)\right)\otimes\det\nolimits_{\Lambda}\left(\underset{v\in S}{\oplus}\mathbf{R}\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_v,T)\right).$$

Perrin-Riou [PR2] defined the module of p-adic L-functions associated to (N,T) as

$$\mathbf{L}^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(N,T)=i_{V,\mathrm{Iw},h}\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(N,T)\right)\subset\mathcal{K}.$$

Fix a generator $f(\gamma_1-1)$ of $\mathbf{L}^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(N,T)$ and define a meromorphic p-adic function

$$L_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(T,N,s)=f(\chi(\gamma)^s-1).$$

Let ω_N be a generator of $\det_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(N)$. The isomorphism $D\simeq t_V(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ allows us to consider ω_N as a basis of $\det_{\mathbb{Q}_p}t_V(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. We also fix a generator ω_T of $\det_{\mathbb{Z}_p}T^+$ and define the p-adic period $\Omega_p(\omega_N,\omega_{\mathrm{T}})\in\mathbb{Q}_p$ by $\omega_{\mathrm{B}}=\Omega_p(\omega_T,\omega_{\mathrm{B}})\omega_T$. Now we can state the main result of this paper.

Theorem 4.3.2. *Assume that a pseudo-geometric representation V satisfies* **C1-5)**. *Let D be an admissible subspace of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$. Fix a $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$-stable lattice T of V and a lattice N of D. Assume that $\mathcal{L}(D,V)\neq 0$. Then*

i) $L_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(T,N,s)$ is a meromorphic p-adic function which has a zero at $s=0$ of order $e=\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(D^{\varphi=p^{-1}})$.

ii) Let $L^*_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(T,N,0)=\lim_{s\to 0}s^{-e}L_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(T,N,s)$ be the special value of $L_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(T,N,s)$ at $s=0$. Then

$$L^*_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(T,N,0)\overset{p}{\sim}\Gamma(h)^{d_+(V)}\,\mathcal{L}(D,V)\;E^*_p(V,1)\det\nolimits_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\left(\frac{1-p^{-1}\varphi^{-1}}{1-\varphi}\,|D_{-1}\right)\frac{i_{\omega_N,\omega_{\mathrm{B}},p}\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{EP}}(T)\right)}{\Omega_p(\omega_T,\omega_{\mathrm{B}})},$$

where $E_p(V,t) = E_p^*(V,t)\left(1-\dfrac{t}{p}\right)^e$ *and* $\Gamma(h) = (h-1)!$.

4.3.3. Proof of Theorem 4.3.2.

4.3.3.1. First recall the formalism of Iwasawa descent which will be used in the proof. The result we need is proved in [BG]. This is a particular case of Nekovář's descent theory [N2]. Let $C^\bullet$ be a perfect complex of $\mathcal{H}$-modules and let $C^\bullet_0 = C^\bullet \otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathcal{H}} \mathbb{Q}_p$. We have a natural distinguished triangle

$$C^\bullet \xrightarrow{X} C^\bullet \to C^\bullet_0,$$

where $X = \gamma_1 - 1$. In each degree this triangle gives a short exact sequence

$$0 \to H^n(C^\bullet)_{\Gamma_1} \to H^n(C^\bullet_0) \to H^{n+1}(C^\bullet)^{\Gamma_1} \to 0.$$

One says that $C^\bullet$ is semisimple if the natural map

$$H^n(C^\bullet)^{\Gamma_1} \to H^n(C^\bullet) \to H^n(C^\bullet)_{\Gamma_1} \tag{4.7}$$

is an isomorphism in all degrees. If $C^\bullet$ is semisimple, there exists a natural trivialisation of $\det_{\mathbb{Q}_p} C^\bullet_0$, namely

$$\begin{aligned}\vartheta\ :\ \det_{\mathbb{Q}_p} C^\bullet_0 \simeq \underset{n\in\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes} \det{}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{(-1)^n} H^n(C_0) &\simeq \underset{n\in\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes}\left(\det{}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{(-1)^n} H^n(C^\bullet)_{\Gamma_1} \otimes \det{}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{(-1)^n} H^{n+1}(C^\bullet)^{\Gamma_1}\right)\\ &\simeq \underset{n\in\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes}\left(\det{}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{(-1)^n} H^n(C^\bullet)_{\Gamma_1} \otimes \det{}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{(-1)^{n-1}} H^n(C^\bullet)^{\Gamma_1}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p\end{aligned}$$

where the last map is induced by (4.7). We now suppose that $C \otimes_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{K}$ is acyclic and write $i_\infty\ :\ \det_{\mathcal{H}} C^\bullet \to \mathcal{K}$ for the associated morphism in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{K})$. Then $i_\infty(\det_{\mathcal{H}} C^\bullet) = f\mathcal{H}$, where $f \in \mathcal{K}$. Let r be the unique integer such that $X^{-r}f$ is a unit of the localization $\mathcal{H}_0$ of $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to the principal ideal $X\mathcal{H}$.

Lemma 4.3.3.2. *Assume that* $C^\bullet$ *is semisimple. Then* $r = \sum\limits_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{n+1} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} H^n(C^\bullet)^{\Gamma_1}$ *and there exists a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\det_{\mathcal{H}} C^\bullet \arrow[r, "X^{-r} i_\infty"] \arrow[d, "\otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}"'] & \mathcal{H}_0 \arrow[d] \\
\det_{\mathbb{Q}_p} C^\bullet_0 \arrow[r, "\vartheta"] & \mathbb{Q}_p
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which the right vertical arrow is the augmentation map.

Proof. See [BG], Lemma 8.1. Remark that Burns and Greither consider complexes over $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$ but since $\mathcal{H}$ is a Bézout ring, all their arguments work in our case and are omitted here.

4.3.3.3. By Theorem 4.2.3 the complex $\mathbf{R}\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V)$ is semisimple and the first assertion follows from Lemma 4.3.3.2 together with Corollary 4.2.5.

4.3.3.4. In this subsection we compare the Bloch-Kato local condition at p with the local condition coming from Perrin-Riou's theory. Set $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_p, D, V) = D[-1]$ and define

$$S = \operatorname{cone}\left(\frac{1-p^{-1}\varphi^{-1}}{1-\varphi}\ :\ \mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_v, D, V) \to \mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_p, V)\right)[-1]. \tag{4.8}$$

Thus, explicitly

$$S = [D \oplus \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) \to \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) \oplus t_V(\mathbb{Q}_p)]\ [-1] \simeq [D \oplus \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) \to \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) \oplus D)]\ [-1],$$

where the unique non-trivial map is given by

$$(x,y) \mapsto \left((1-\varphi)\, y,\ \left(\frac{1-p^{-1}\varphi^{-1}}{1-\varphi}\, x + y \right) \pmod{\mathrm{Fil}^0 \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)} \right).$$

Thus $H^1(S) = D^{\varphi = p^{-1}}$ and $H^2(S) = \dfrac{t_V(\mathbb{Q}_p)}{(1-p^{-1}\varphi^{-1})D} \simeq \dfrac{D}{(1-p^{-1}\varphi^{-1})D}$. From the semi-simplicity of $\dfrac{1-p^{-1}\varphi^{-1}}{1-\varphi}$ it follows that the natural projection $H^1(S) \to H^2(S)$ is an isomorphism and we have a canonical trivialization $\vartheta_S\ :\ \det_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S \simeq \det_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{-1} H^1(S) \otimes \det_{\mathbb{Q}_p} H^2(S) \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p$. Hence the distingushed triangle

$$S \to \mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_p, D, V) \to \mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_p, V) \to S[1]$$

induces isomorphisms

$$\det_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_p, V) \simeq \mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_p, D, V) \otimes \det_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{-1} S \overset{\text{via } \vartheta_S}{\simeq} \det_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_p, D, V).$$

Lemma 4.3.3.5. *i) Let* $f\ :\ W \to W$ *be a semi-simple endomorphism of a finitely dimensional* k*-vector space* W*. The canonical projection* $\ker(f) \to \mathrm{coker}(f)$ *is an isomorphism and the tautological exact sequence*

$$0 \to \ker(f) \to W \xrightarrow{f} W \to \mathrm{coker}(f) \to 0$$

induces an isomorphism

$$\det{}^* f\ :\ \det_k(W) \to \det_k(W) \otimes \det_k(\ker(f)) \otimes \det_k^{-1}(\mathrm{coker}(f)) \to \det_k(W).$$

Then $\det{}^* f(x) = \det(f \,|\, \mathrm{coker}(f))$.

ii) The diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\det_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_p, V) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \det_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_p, D, V) \arrow[dd] \\
\det_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{-1} t_V(\mathbb{Q}_p) \arrow[d] & \\
\det_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{-1} D \arrow[r, "\det^* \left(\frac{1-p^{-1}\varphi^{-1}}{1-\varphi} | D \right) E_p(V,1)"] & \det_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{-1} D
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which the bottom map is the multiplication by $\det{}^* \left(\frac{1-p^{-1}\varphi^{-1}}{1-\varphi} | D \right) E_p(V,1)$*, commutes.*

Proof. The proof of i) is straightforward and is omitted here. Next, ii) follows from i) applyed to $W = D$ and the fact what $E_p(V,1) = \det\left(1-\varphi \,|\, \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)\right)$.

4.3.3.6. Now we can prove Theorem 4.3.2. Define

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_v,N,T)=\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw},f}^{(\eta_0)}(\mathbb{Q}_v,N,T)\otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_{\Lambda}\mathbb{Z}_p,\qquad \mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_v,D,V)=\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_v,N,T)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathbb{Q}_p.$$

Remark that for $v=p$ this definition coincides with the definition given in 4.3.3.4. Applying $\otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathcal{H}}\mathbb{Q}_p$ to the map $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw},f}^{(\eta_0)}(\mathbb{Q}_v,D,V)\to\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{(\eta_0)}(\mathbb{Q}_v,T)\otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_{\Lambda}\mathcal{H}$ we obtain a morphism

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_v,D,V)\to\mathbf{R}\Gamma(\mathbb{Q}_v,V).$$

If $v\neq p$, then $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_v,D,V)=\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_v,V)$ and this morphism coincides with the natural map $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_v,V)\to\mathbf{R}\Gamma(\mathbb{Q}_v,V)$. If $v=p$, then $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_v,D,V)=D[-1]$ and by Theorem 2.2.4 it coincides with the composition

$$D\xrightarrow{\frac{1-p^{-1}\varphi^{-1}}{1-\varphi}}\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)\xrightarrow{(h-1)!\exp_{V,\mathbb{Q}_p}}H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,V).$$

Let $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{f,h}(D,V)$ denote the Selmer complex associated to the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{R}\Gamma_S(V) \arrow[r] & \underset{v\in S}{\oplus}\mathbf{R}\Gamma(\mathbb{Q}_v,V) \\
 & \underset{v\in S}{\oplus}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_v,D,V) \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then we have a distinguished triangle

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{f,h}(D,V)\to\mathbf{R}\Gamma_S(V)\oplus\left(\underset{v\in S}{\oplus}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_v,D,V)\right)\to\underset{v\in S}{\oplus}\mathbf{R}\Gamma(\mathbb{Q}_v,V)\tag{4.9}$$

which induces isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
&\det{}^{-1}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_S(V)\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\left(\underset{v\in S}{\otimes}\det{}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathbf{R}\Gamma(\mathbb{Q}_v,V)\right)\otimes\det{}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}D\overset{\sim}{\to}\det{}^{-1}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{f,h}(D,V),\\
&\xi_{D,h}\,:\,\Delta_{\mathrm{EP}}(V)\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\left(\det{}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}D\otimes\det{}^{-1}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}V^+\right)\overset{\sim}{\to}\det{}^{-1}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{f,h}(D,V).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{f,h}(D,V)=\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw},h}^{(\eta_0)}(D,V)\otimes_{\mathcal{H}}\mathbb{Q}_p$ and for any i one has an exact sequence

$$0\to\mathbf{R}^i\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw},h}^{(\eta_0)}(D,V)_{\Gamma}\to\mathbf{R}^i\Gamma_{f,h}(D,V)\to\mathbf{R}^{i+1}\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw},h}^{(\eta_0)}(D,V)^{\Gamma}\to 0.$$

From Theorem 4.2.3 it follows that

$$\mathbf{R}^i\Gamma_{f,h}(D,V)=\begin{cases}\mathbf{R}^2\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw},h}^{(\eta_0)}(D,V)^{\Gamma} & \text{if } i=1\\ \mathbf{R}^2\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw},h}^{(\eta_0)}(D,V)_{\Gamma} & \text{if } i=2\\ 0 & \text{if } i\neq 1,2.\end{cases}$$

Therefore, the isomorphism $\mathbf{R}^2\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V)^{\Gamma}\to\mathbf{R}^2\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V)_{\Gamma}$ induces a canonical trivialization

$$\vartheta_{D,h}\,:\,\det{}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{f,h}(D,V)\overset{\sim}{\to}\mathbb{Q}_p.$$

By Lemma 4.3.3.2 we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\det{}^{-1}_{\mathcal{H}}\mathbf{R}\Gamma^{(\eta_0)}_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(D,V) \arrow[r, "X^{-e}i_{V,\mathrm{Iw},h}"] \arrow[d, "{}^{\mathbf{L}}\otimes_{\mathcal{H}}\mathbb{Q}_p"'] & \mathcal{H}_0 \arrow[d] \\
\det{}^{-1}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{f,h}(D,V) \arrow[r, "\vartheta^{-1}_{D,h}"] & \mathbb{Q}_p.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(N,T)\otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_{\Lambda}\mathbb{Z}_p \simeq \Delta_{\mathrm{EP}}(T)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\omega_N\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\omega_T^{-1}$$

it implies that

$$\vartheta^{-1}_{D,h}\circ\xi_{D,h}(\Delta_{\mathrm{EP}}(T)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\omega_N\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\omega_T^{-1}) \;=\; \log(\chi(\gamma))^{-e}L^*_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(T,N,0)\,\mathbb{Z}_p. \tag{4.10}$$

Consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(V) \arrow[r] & \mathbf{R}\Gamma_S(V)\oplus\underset{v\in S\cup\{\infty\}}{\bigoplus}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_v,V) \arrow[r] & \underset{v\in S\cup\{\infty\}}{\bigoplus}\mathbf{R}\Gamma(\mathbb{Q}_v,V) \\
\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{f,h}(D,V) \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & \mathbf{R}\Gamma_S(V)\oplus\underset{v\in S}{\bigoplus}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_v,D,V) \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & \underset{v\in S}{\bigoplus}\mathbf{R}\Gamma(\mathbb{Q}_v,V) \arrow[u] \\
L \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & S\oplus V^+[-1] \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & V^+[-1] \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.11}$$

in which $L=\mathrm{cone}\left(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{f,h}(D,V)\to\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(V)\right)[-1]$ and the upper and middle rows coincide with (4.1) and (4.9) up to the following modification: the map $\mathrm{loc}_p\,:\,\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)\to\mathbf{R}\Gamma(\mathbb{Q}_p,V)$ is replaced by $\Gamma(h)\,\mathrm{loc}_p$. It follows from **C1-5)** that $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(V)$ is acyclic. Hence in the derived category $\mathcal{D}^p(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ the composition $\alpha\,:\,S\xrightarrow{\sim}L\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{f,h}(D,V)$ is an isomorphism. An easy diagram search shows that $H^1(S)\simeq\mathbf{R}^1\Gamma_{f,h}(D,V)$ coincides with $\mathrm{id}\,:\,D^{\varphi=p^{-1}}\to D^{\varphi=p^{-1}}$ and that $H^2(S)\simeq\mathbf{R}^2\Gamma_{f,h}(D,V)$ coincides with $\Gamma(h)\exp_{V,\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Therefore, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\det_{\mathbb{Q}_p}S \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "\vartheta_S"'] & \det_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{f,h}(D,V) \arrow[d, "\vartheta_{D,h}"'] \\
\mathbb{Q}_p \arrow[r, "\kappa"] & \mathbb{Q}_p
\end{tikzcd}$$

there κ can be written as the composition

$$\mathbb{Q}_p\xrightarrow{\sim}\det{}^{-1}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}H^1(S)\otimes\det_{\mathbb{Q}_p}H^2(S)\xrightarrow{\sim}\det{}^{-1}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathbf{R}^1\Gamma_{f,h}(D,V)\otimes\det_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathbf{R}^2\Gamma_{f,h}(D,V)\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathbb{Q}_p$$

From Proposition 3.2.4 and Corollary 4.2.5 we obtain immediately that

$$\kappa \;=\; (\log\chi(\gamma))^e\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^e\mathcal{L}(D,V)^{-1}\,\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}. \tag{4.12}$$

Passing to determinants in the diagram (4.11) we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Delta_{\mathrm{EP}}(V)\otimes\bigl(\det(t_V(\mathbb{Q}_p))\otimes\det^{-1}V^+\bigr) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f"] & \det^{-1}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_f(V) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{Q}_p \arrow[d, equal] \\
\Delta_{\mathrm{EP}}(V)\otimes\bigl(\det D\otimes\det^{-1}V^+\bigr)\otimes\det S \arrow[r, "\xi_{D,h}\otimes\alpha"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}\otimes\vartheta_S"] & \det^{-1}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{f,h}(D,V)\otimes\det\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{f,h}(D,V) \arrow[r, "\text{duality}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}\otimes\vartheta_{D,h}"] & \mathbb{Q}_p \arrow[d, equal] \\
\Delta_{\mathrm{EP}}(V)\otimes\left(\det D\otimes\det^{-1}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}V^+\right) \arrow[r, "\xi_{D,h}\otimes\kappa"] & \det^{-1}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{f,h}(D,V) \arrow[r, "\vartheta_{D,h}^{-1}"] & \mathbb{Q}_p
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which the map f is induced by (4.8). The upper row of this diagram sends $\Delta_{EP}(T)\otimes(\omega_N\otimes\omega_{\mathrm{B}}^{-1})$ onto

$$\Gamma(h)^{d_+(V)}i_{\omega_N,\omega_{\mathrm{B}},p}(\Delta_{EP}(T)). \tag{4.13}$$

From Lemma 4.3.3.5 it follows that

$$(\mathrm{id}\otimes\vartheta_S)\circ f \;=\; \det{}^*\left(\frac{1-p^{-1}\varphi^{-1}}{1-\varphi}\mid D\right)^{-1}E_p(V,1)^{-1}\,\mathrm{id} \tag{4.14}$$

Next, (4.10) and (4.12) give

$$\vartheta_{D,h}^{-1}\circ(\xi_{D,h}\otimes\kappa)(\Delta_{\mathrm{EP}}(T)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\omega_N\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\omega_T^{-1}) \;=\; \left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^e\mathcal{L}(D,V)^{-1}L^*_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(T,N,0)\,\mathbb{Z}_p. \tag{4.15}$$

Putting together (4.13), (4.14) and (4.15) we obtain that

$$L^*_{\mathrm{Iw},h}(T,N,0)\overset{p}{\sim}\Gamma(h)^{d_+(V)}\,\mathcal{L}(D,V)\;E_p^*(V,1)\det{}^*_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\left(\frac{1-p^{-1}\varphi^{-1}}{1-\varphi}\,|D\right)\frac{i_{\omega_N,\omega_{\mathrm{B}},p}\,(\Delta_{\mathrm{EP}}(T))}{\Omega_p(\omega_T,\omega_{\mathrm{B}})}.$$

The theorem is proved.

Appendix. Galois cohomology of p-adic representations

A.1. Let K be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and T a p-adic representation of G_K. Fix a topological generator γ of Γ. Let $\mathbf{D}(T)=(T\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathbf{A})^{H_K}$ be the (φ,Γ)-module associated to T by Fontaine's theory [F2]. Consider the complex

$$C_{\varphi,\gamma}(\mathbf{D}(T)) \;=\; \left[\mathbf{D}(T)\xrightarrow{f}\mathbf{D}(T)\oplus\mathbf{D}(T)\xrightarrow{g}\mathbf{D}(T)\right]$$

where the modules are placed in degrees 0, 1 and 2 and the maps f and g are given by

$$f(x)=((\varphi-1)\,x\,,\,(\gamma-1)\,x),\qquad g(y,z)=(\gamma-1)\,y\,-\,(\varphi-1)\,z.$$

Proposition A.2. *There are canonical and functorial isomorphisms*

$$h^i\,:\,H^i(C_{\varphi,\gamma}(\mathbf{D}(T)))\xrightarrow{\sim}H^i(K,T)$$

which can be described explicitly by the following formulas:

i) If $i=0$, then h^0 coincides with the natural isomorphism

$$\mathbf{D}(T)^{\varphi=1,\gamma=1}\,=\,H^0(K,T\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathbf{A}^{\varphi=1})\,=\,H^0(K,T).$$

ii) Let $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbf{D}(T)$ be such that $(\gamma-1)\,\alpha\,=\,(1-\varphi)\,\beta$. Then h^1 sends $\mathrm{cl}(\alpha,\beta)$ *to the class of the cocycle*

$$\mu_1(g)\,=\,(g-1)\,x\,+\,\frac{g-1}{\gamma-1}\,\beta,$$

where $x\in\mathbf{D}(T)\otimes_{\mathbf{A}_K}\mathbf{A}$ is a solution of the equation $(1-\varphi)\,x\,=\,\alpha$.

iii) Let $\widehat{\gamma}\in G_K$ be a lifting of $g\in\Gamma$ and let x be a solution of $(\varphi-1)\,x\,=\,\alpha$. Then h^2 sends α to the class of the 2-cocycle

$$\mu_2(g_1,g_2)\,=\,\widehat{\gamma}^{k_1}(h_1-1)\,\frac{\widehat{\gamma}^{k_2}-1}{\widehat{\gamma}-1}\,x$$

where $g_i\,=\,\hat{\gamma}^{k_i}h_i$, $h_i\in H_K$.

Proof. The isomorphisms h^i were constructed in [H1], Theorem 2.1. Remark that i) follows directly from this construction (see [H1], p.573) and that ii) is proved in [Ben1], Proposition 1.3.2 and [CC2], Proposition I.4.1. The proof of iii) follows along exactly the same lines. Namely, it is enough to prove this formula modulo p^n for each n. Let $\alpha\in\mathbf{D}(T)/p^n\mathbf{D}(T)$. By Proposition 2.4 of [H1] there exists $r\geq 0$ and $y\in\mathbf{D}(T)/p^n\mathbf{D}(T)$ such that $(\varphi-1)\,\alpha\,=\,(\gamma-1)^r\beta$. Let

$$N_x=(\mathbf{D}(T)/p^n\mathbf{D}(T))\oplus(\oplus_{i=1}^r(\mathbf{A}_K/p^n\mathbf{A}_K)\,t_i),$$

where $\varphi(t_i)\,=\,t_i+(\gamma-1)^{r-i}(\alpha)$ and $\gamma(t_i)\,=\,t_i+t_{i-1}$. Then N_x is a (φ,Γ)-module and we have a short exact sequence

$$0\longrightarrow\mathbf{D}\longrightarrow N_x\longrightarrow X\longrightarrow 0$$

where $X\,=\,N_x/M\,\simeq\,\oplus_{i=1}^r\mathbf{A}_K/p^n\mathbf{A}_K\bar{t}_i$. An easy diagram search shows that the connecting homomorphism $\delta^1_{\mathbf{D}}\,:\,H^1(C_{\varphi,\gamma}(\mathbf{D}(X)))\longrightarrow H^2(C_{\varphi,\gamma}(\mathbf{D}(T)))$ sends $\mathrm{cl}(0,\bar{t}_r)$ to $-\mathrm{cl}(\alpha)$. The functor $\mathbf{V}(D)=(D\otimes_{\mathbf{A}_K}\mathbf{A})^{\varphi=1}$ is a quasi-inverse to $\mathbf{D}$. Thus one has an exact sequence of Galois modules

$$0\longrightarrow T/p^nT\longrightarrow T_x\longrightarrow\mathbf{V}(X)\longrightarrow 0$$

where $T_x\,=\,\mathbf{V}(N_x)$. From the definition of x it follows immediately that $t_r-x\in T_x$. By ii), $h^1(\mathrm{cl}(0,\bar{t}_r))$ can be represented by the cocycle $c(g)\,=\,\dfrac{g-1}{\gamma-1}\,\bar{t}_r$ and we fix its lifting $\hat{c}\,:\,G_K\longrightarrow N_x$ putting $\hat{c}(g)\,=\,\dfrac{g-1}{\gamma-1}\,(t_r-x)$. As $g_1\hat{c}(g_2)-\hat{c}(g_1g_2)+\hat{c}(g_1)\,=\,-\mu_2(g_1,g_2)$, the connecting map $\delta^1_T\,:\,H^1(K,\mathbf{V}(X))\longrightarrow H^2(K,T/p^nT)$ sends $\mathrm{cl}(c)$ to $-\mathrm{cl}(\mu_2)$ and iii) follows from the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^1(C_{\varphi,\gamma}(X)) \arrow[r, "\delta^1_{\mathbf{D}}"] \arrow[d, "h^1"'] & H^2(C_{\varphi,\gamma}(T/p^nT)) \arrow[d, "h^2"'] \\
H^1(K,\mathbf{V}(X)) \arrow[r, "\delta^1_T"] & H^2(K,T/p^nT).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proposition A.3. *The complexes* $\mathbf{R}\Gamma(K,T)$ *and* $C_{\varphi,\gamma}(T)$ *are isomorphic in* $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Proof. The proof is standard (see for example [BF], proof of Proposition 1.17). The exact sequence

$$0 \to T \to \mathbf{D}(T)\otimes_{\mathbf{A}_K}\mathbf{A} \xrightarrow{\varphi-1} \mathbf{D}(T)\otimes_{\mathbf{A}_K}\mathbf{A} \to 0$$

gives rise to an exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \to C^\bullet_{\mathrm{c}}(G_K,T) \to C^\bullet_{\mathrm{c}}(G_K,\mathbf{D}(T)\otimes_{\mathbf{A}_K}\mathbf{A}) \xrightarrow{\varphi-1} C^\bullet_{\mathrm{c}}(G_K,\mathbf{D}(T)\otimes_{\mathbf{A}_K}\mathbf{A}) \to 0$$

Thus $\mathbf{R}\Gamma(K,T)$ is quasi-isomorphic to the total complex

$$K^\bullet(T) \,=\, \mathrm{Tot}^\bullet\left(C^\bullet_{\mathrm{c}}(G_K,\mathbf{D}(T)\otimes_{\mathbf{A}_K}\mathbf{A}) \xrightarrow{\varphi-1} C^\bullet_{\mathrm{c}}(G_K,\mathbf{D}(T)\otimes_{\mathbf{A}_K}\mathbf{A})\right).$$

On the other hand $C_{\varphi,\gamma}(T) \,=\, \mathrm{Tot}^\bullet\left(A^\bullet(T)\xrightarrow{\varphi-1}A^\bullet(T)\right)$, where $A^\bullet(T)=[\mathbf{D}(T)\xrightarrow{\gamma-1}\mathbf{D}(T)]$. Consider the following commutative diagram of complexes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{D}(T) \arrow[r, "\gamma-1"] \arrow[d, "\beta_0"] & \mathbf{D}(T) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\beta_1"] & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \cdots \\
C^0(G_K,\mathbf{D}(T)\otimes_{\mathbf{A}_K}\mathbf{A}) \arrow[r] & C^1(G_K,\mathbf{D}(T)\otimes_{\mathbf{A}_K}\mathbf{A}) \arrow[r] & C^2(G_K,\mathbf{D}(T)\otimes_{\mathbf{A}_K}\mathbf{A}) \arrow[r] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which $\beta_0(x)=x$ viewed as a constant function on G_K and $\beta_1(x)$ denotes the map $G_K\to\mathbf{D}(T)\otimes_{\mathbf{A}_K}\mathbf{A})$ defined by $(\beta_1(x))\,(g)=\dfrac{g-1}{\gamma-1}\,x$. This diagram induces a map $\mathrm{Tot}^\bullet(A^\bullet(T)\xrightarrow{\varphi-1}A^\bullet(T))\to K^\bullet(T)$ and we obtain a diagram

$$C_{\varphi,\gamma}(T)\to K^\bullet(T)\leftarrow \mathbf{R}\Gamma(K,T)$$

where the right map is a quasi-isomorphism. Then for each i one has a map

$$H^i(C_{\varphi,\gamma}(T))\to H^i(K^\bullet(T))\simeq H^i(K,T)$$

and an easy diagram search shows that it coincides with h^i. The proposition is proved.

Corollary A.4. *Let* V *be a* p*-adic representation of* G_K. *Then the complexes* $\mathbf{R}\Gamma(K,V)$, $C_{\varphi,\gamma}(\mathbf{D}^\dagger(V))$ *and* $C_{\varphi,\gamma}(\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))$ *are isomorphic in* $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 1.1 of [Li] together with Proposition A.2.

A.5. Recall that K_∞/K denotes the cyclotomic extension obtained by adjoining all p^n-th roots of unity. Let $\Gamma=\mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty/K)$ and let $\Lambda(\Gamma)\,=\,\mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma]]$ denote the Iwasawa algebra of Γ. For any $\mathbb{Z}_p$-adic representation T of G_K the induced representation $\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\infty/K}T$ is isomorphic to $(T\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\Lambda(\Gamma))^\iota$ and we set $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K,T)\,=\,C^\bullet_{\mathrm{c}}(G_K\,,\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\infty/K}T)$. Consider the complex

$$C_{\mathrm{Iw},\psi}(T)\,=\,\left[\mathbf{D}(T)\xrightarrow{\psi-1}\mathbf{D}(T)\right]$$

in which the first term is placed in degree 1.

Proposition A.6. *There are canonical and functorial isomorphisms*

$$h^i_{\mathrm{Iw}}\ :\ H^i(C_{\mathrm{Iw},\psi}(T))\to H^i_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K,T)$$

which can be described explicitly by the following formulas:

i) Let $\alpha\in\mathbf{D}(T)^{\psi=1}$*. Then* $(\varphi-1)\,\alpha\in\mathbf{D}(T)^{\psi=0}$ *and for any* n *there exists a unique* $\beta_n\in\mathbf{D}(T)$ *such that* $(\gamma_n-1)\,\beta_n=(\varphi-1)\,\alpha$*. The map* h^1_{Iw} *sends* $\mathrm{cl}(\alpha)$ *to* $(h^1_n(\mathrm{cl}(\beta_n,\alpha)))_{n\in\mathbf{N}}\in H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K_n,T)$*.*

ii) If $\alpha\in\mathbf{D}(T)$*, then* $h^2_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathrm{cl}(\alpha))\ =\ -(h^2_n(\varphi(\alpha)))_{n\in\mathbf{N}}$*.*

Proof. The proposition follows from Theorem II.1.3 and Remark II.3.2 of [CC2] together with Proposition A.2.

Proposition A.7. *The complexes* $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K,T)$ *and* $C_{\mathrm{Iw},\psi}(T)$ *are isomorphic in the derived category* $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda(\Gamma))$*.*

Proof. We repeat the arguments used in the proof of Proposition A.1.2 with some modifications. For any $n\geqslant 1$ one has an exact sequence

$$0\to\mathrm{Ind}_{K_n/K}T\to(\mathbf{D}(T)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathbb{Z}_p[G_n]^\iota)\otimes_{\mathbf{A}_K}\mathbf{A}\xrightarrow{\varphi-1}(\mathbf{D}(T)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathbb{Z}_p[G_n]^\iota)\otimes_{\mathbf{A}_K}\mathbf{A}\to 0.$$

Set $\mathbf{D}(\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\infty/K}T)=\mathbf{D}(T)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\Lambda(\Gamma)^\iota$ and

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\infty/K}(T))\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{A}_K}\mathbf{A}\ =\ \varprojlim_n(\mathbf{D}(T)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathbb{Z}_p[G_n]^\iota)\otimes_{\mathbf{A}_K}\mathbf{A}.$$

As $\mathrm{Ind}_{K_n/K}T$ are compact, taking projective limit one obtains an exact sequence

$$0\to\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\infty/K}T\to\mathbf{D}(\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\infty/K}(T))\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{A}_K}\mathbf{A}\xrightarrow{\varphi-1}\mathbf{D}(\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\infty/K}(T))\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{A}_K}\mathbf{A}\to 0.$$

Thus $\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K,T)$ is quasi-isomorphic to

$$K^\bullet_{\mathrm{Iw}}(T)\ =\ \mathrm{Tot}^\bullet\left(C^\bullet_{\mathrm{c}}(G_K,\mathbf{D}(\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\infty/K}T)\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{A}_K}\mathbf{A})\xrightarrow{\varphi-1}C^\bullet_{\mathrm{c}}(G_K,\mathbf{D}(\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\infty/K}T)\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{A}_K}\mathbf{A})\right).$$

We construct a quasi-isomorphism $f_\bullet\ :\ C_{\mathrm{Iw},\psi}(T)\to K^\bullet_{\mathrm{Iw}}(T)$. Any $x\in\mathbf{D}(T)$ can be written in the form $x=(1-\varphi\psi)\,x+\varphi\psi(x)$ where $\psi(1-\varphi\psi)\,x\ =\ 0$. Then for each $n\geqslant 0$ the equation $(\gamma_n-1)\,y_n\ =\ (\varphi\psi-1)\,x$ has a unique solution $y_n\in\mathbf{D}(T)^{\psi=0}$ ([CC2], Proposition I.5.1). In particular, $y_n\ =\ \dfrac{\gamma_{n+1}-1}{\gamma_n-1}\,y_{n+1}$ and we have a compatible system of elements $Y_n=\displaystyle\sum_{k=0}^{|G_n|-1}\gamma^k\otimes\gamma^k(y_n)\ \in\mathbf{D}(T)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathbb{Z}_p[G_n]^\iota$. Put $Y=(Y_n)_{n\geq 0}\in\mathbf{D}(\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\infty/K}T)$. Then

$$(\gamma_n-1)\,Y_n\ =\ (\gamma-1)\,Y\pmod{\mathbf{D}(\mathrm{Ind}_{K_n/K}T)}.$$

Let $\eta_x\in C^1_{\mathrm{c}}(G_K,\mathbf{D}(\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\infty/K}T)\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{A}_K}\mathbf{A})$ be the map defined by $\eta_x(g)\ =\ \dfrac{g-1}{\gamma-1}\,(1\otimes x)$. Define $f_1\ :\ \mathbf{D}(T)\to K^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(T)\ =\ C^0_{\mathrm{c}}(G_K,\mathbf{D}(\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\infty/K}T)\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{A}_K}\mathbf{A})\oplus C^1_{\mathrm{c}}(G_K,\mathbf{D}(\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\infty/K}T)\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{A}_K}\mathbf{A})$ by $f_1(x)=(Y,\eta_x)$ and $f_2\ :\ \mathbf{D}(T)\to C^1_{\mathrm{c}}(G_K,\mathbf{D}(\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\infty/K}T)\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{A}_K}\mathbf{A})\subset K^2_{\mathrm{Iw}}(T)$ by $f_2(z)=-\eta_{\varphi(z)}$. It is easy to check that $f_\bullet$ is a morphism of complexes. This gives a diagram

$$C_{\mathrm{Iw},\psi}(T)\to K^\bullet_{\mathrm{Iw}}(T)\leftarrow\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K,T)$$

in which the right map is a quasi-isomorphism. Using Proposition A.1.4 it is not difficult to check that for each i the induced map

$$H^i(C_{\mathrm{Iw},\psi}(T))\to H^i(K^\bullet_{\mathrm{Iw}}(T))\simeq H^i_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K,T)$$

coincides with h^i_{Iw}. The proposition is proved.

Corollary A.8. *The complexes* $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K,T)$ *and* $C^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{Iw},\psi}(T)$ *are isomorphic in* $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda(\Gamma))$.

Proof. One has $\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}(T)^{\psi=1}=\mathbf{D}(T)^{\psi=1}$ ([CC1], Proposition 3.3.2) and $\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}(T)/(\psi-1)=\mathbf{D}(T)/(\psi-1)$ ([Li], Lemma 3.6). This shows that the inclusion $C^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{Iw},\psi}(T)\to \mathbf{D}(T)^{\psi=1}$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

Remark A.9. These results can be slightly improved. Namely, set $r_n=(p-1)p^{n-1}$. The method used in the proof of Proposition III.2.1 [CC2] allows to show that $\psi(\mathbf{D}^{\dagger,r_n}(T))\subset \mathbf{D}^{\dagger,r_{n-1}}(T)$ for $n\gg 0$. Moreover, for any $a\in \mathbf{D}^{\dagger,r_n}(T)$ the solutions of the equation $(\psi-1)\,x=a$ are in $\mathbf{D}^{\dagger,r_n}(T)$. Thus $C^{\dagger,r_n}_{\mathrm{Iw}}(T)=\left[\mathbf{D}^{\dagger,r_n}(T)\xrightarrow{\psi-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger,r_n}(T)\right]$, $n\gg 0$ is a well-defined complex which is quasi-isomorphic to $C^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{Iw},\psi}(T)$. Further, as $\varphi(\mathbf{A}^{\dagger,r/p})=\mathbf{A}^{\dagger,r}$ we can consider the complex

$$C^{\dagger,r_n}_{\varphi,\gamma}(T)=\left[\mathbf{D}^{\dagger,r_{n-1}}(T)\xrightarrow{f}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger,r_n}(T)\oplus\mathbf{D}^{\dagger,r_{n-1}}(T)\xrightarrow{g}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger,r_n}(T)\right],\qquad n\gg 0$$

in which f and g are defined by the same formulas as before. Then the inclusion $C^{\dagger,r_n}_{\varphi,\gamma}(T)\to C_{\varphi,\gamma}(T)$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

REFERENCES

[BC] J. Bellaïche, G. Chenevier, *p-adic families of Galois representations and gigher rank Selmer groups*, To appear in Astérisque.

[Ben1] D. Benois, *Iwasawa theory of crystalline representations*, Duke Math. J. **104** (2000), no. 2, 211-267.

[Ben2] D. Benois, *A generalization of Greenberg's $\mathcal{L}$-invariant* (2009), (Preprint) 31 pages.

[BB] D. Benois, L. Berger, *Théorie d'Iwasawa des représentations cristallines II*, Commentarii Math. Helvetici **83** (2008), no. 3, 603-677.

[Ber1] L. Berger, *Représentations p-adiques et équations différentielles*, Invent. Math. **148** (2002), 219-284.

[Ber2] L. Berger, *Limites de représentations cristallines*, Compos. Math. **140** (2004), no. 6, 1473-1498.

[Ber3] L. Berger, *Bloch and Kato's exponential map: three explicit formulas*, Documenta Math. (2003).

[Ber4] L. Berger, *Equations différentielles p-adiques et (φ,N)-modules filtrés*, in Astérisque **319** (2008), 13-38.

[BK] S. Bloch, K. Kato, *L-functions and Tamagawa numbers of motives*, Grothendieck Festschrift, vol. 1 (1990), 333-400.

[BF] D. Burns, M. Flach, *Motivic L-functions and Galois module structures*, Math. Annalen **305** (1996), 65-102.

[CC1] F. Cherbonnier et P. Colmez, *Représentations p-adiques surconvergentes*, Invent. Math. **133** (1998), 581-611.

[CC2] F. Cherbonnier et P. Colmez, *Théorie d'Iwasawa des représentations p-adiques d'un corps local*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1999), no. 1, 241-268.

[C1] P. Colmez, *Théorie d'Iwasawa des représentations de de Rham d'un corps local*, Ann. of Math. **148** (1998), no. 2, 485-571.

[C2] P. Colmez, *Fonctions L p-adiques*, Astérisque **266** (2000), 21-58.

[C3] P. Colmez, *Les conjectures de monodromie p-adiques*, Séminaire Bourbaki. Vol. 2001/2002. Astérisque **290** (2003), 53-101.

[C4] P. Colmez, *Représentations triangulines de dimension* 2, Astérisque **319** (2008), 213-258.

[CF] P. Colmez and J.-M. Fontaine, *Construction des représentations p-adiques semi-stables*, Invent. Math. **140** (2000), 1-43.

[D] D. Delbourgo, *$\mathcal{L}$-invariants arising from conjugate measures of* $\mathrm{Sym}^2 E$, Glasgow Math. J. **44** (2002), 45-64.

[DD] A. Dabrowski and D. Delbourgo, *S-adic L-functions attached to the symmetric square of a newform*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **74** (1997), 559-611.

[F1] J.-M. Fontaine, *Sur certains types de représentations p-adiques du groupe de Galois d'un corps local; construction d'un anneau de Barsotti-Tate*, Ann. of Math. **115** (1982), 529-577.

[F2] J.-M. Fontaine, *Représentations p-adiques des corps locaux, dans The Grothendieck Festschrift, vol. II, Birkhäuser, Boston* (1991), 249-309.

[F3] J.-M. Fontaine, *Valeurs spéciales de fonctions L des motifs*, Séminaire Bourbaki, exposé 751, Astérisque **206** (1992), 205-249.

[F4] J.-M. Fontaine, *Le corps des périodes p-adiques*, Astérisque **223** (1994), 59-102.

[F5] J.-M. Fontaine, *représentations p-adiques semi-stables*, Astérisque **223** (1994), 113-184.

[FP] J.-M. Fontaine, B. Perrin-Riou, *Autour des conjectures de Bloch et Kato; cohomologie galoisienne et valeurs de fonctions L*, dans *Motives*, Proc. Symp. in Pure Math., vol. 55, part 1, (1994), 599-706.

[G] R. Greenberg, *Trivial zeros of p-adic L-functions*, Contemporary Math. **165** (1994), 149-174.

[H1] L. Herr, *Sur la cohomologie galoisienne des corps p-adiques*, Bull. Soc. math. France **126** (1998), 563-600.

[H2] L. Herr, *Une approche nouvelle de la dualité locale de Tate*, Math. Ann. **320** (2001), 307-337.

[La] M. Lazard, *Les zéros des fonctions analytiques d'une variable sur un corps valué complet*, Publ. Math. IHES **14** (1962), 47-75.

[Li] R. Liu, *Cohomology and Duality for (φ,Γ)-modules over the Robba ring*, Int. Math. Research Notices (to appear).

[N1] J. Nekovář, *On p-adic height pairing*, Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres, Paris 1990/91, Progress in Math. **108** (1993), 127-202.

[N2] J. Nekovář, *Selmer complexes*, Astérisque **310** (2006), 559 pages.

[PR1] B. Perrin-Riou, *Théorie d'Iwasawa des représentations p-adiques sur un corps local*, Invent. Math. **115** (1994), 81-149.

[PR2] B. Perrin-Riou, *Fonctions L p-adiques des représentations p-adiques*, Astérisque **229** (1995).

[PR3] Perrin-Riou, *Zéros triviaux des fonctions L p-adiques*, Compositio Math. **114** (1998), 37-76.

Denis Benois, Institut de Mathématiques, Université Bordeaux I, 351, cours de la Libération 33405 Talence, France

E-mail address: `denis.benois@math.u-bordeaux1.fr`